1	Levulinic acid: a novel sustainable solvent for lignin dissolution
2	Elodie Melro ^{a,*} , Alexandra Filipe ^b , Artur J. M. Valente ^a , Filipe E. Antunes ^a , Anabela Romano ^c ,
3	Magnus Norgren ^d and Bruno Medronho ^{c,d}
4	
5	^a CQC, Department of Chemistry, University of Coimbra, Rua Larga, 3004-535 Coimbra, Portugal
6	^b CIEPQPF, Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Coimbra, Pólo II – R. Silvio Lima, 3030-790
7	Coimbra, Portugal
8	^c MED – Mediterranean Institute for Agriculture, Environment and Development, University of Algarve, Faculty
9	of Sciences and Technology, Campus de Gambelas, Ed. 8, 8005-139 Faro, Portugal
10	^d FSCN, Surface and Colloid Engineering, Mid Sweden University, SE-851 70 Sundsvall, Sweden
11	
12	*Corresponding author
13	E-mail addresses: <u>elodie.melro@uc.pt</u> (Elodie Melro)
14	

Abstract 15

16 Lignin is a natural macromolecule with potential to be used as a fossil resource to produce 17 fuels, value-added chemicals, and novel biomaterials and composites. Due to its complex structure, its efficient dissolution is still challenging, which hinders the applicability of this 18 19 natural resource at larger scale. The challenge becomes harder considering the current need 20 of sustainable and environmentally friendly solvents. This work reports, for the first time, the dissolution of lignin in levulinic acid, a solvent that can be produced from renewable sources, 21 22 and compares its efficiency with common carboxylic acids and sulfuric acid. Levulinic acid 23 was found to dissolve 40 wt. % kraft lignin; remarkably, the dissolution efficiency was

24 maintained when adding up to 40 wt% of water. The addition of water decreases the concentration of levulinic acid and the viscosity of the solutions formed which is benefic for 25 large-scale processing. The Kamlet-Taft π^* parameter of the different acidic solvents was 26 27 estimated and found to correlate well with their solubility performance. Lignins previously dissolved in levulinic and formic acids were selected to be regenerated and minor differences 28 29 were found in the content of functional groups, thermal stability, and their morphological 30 structure, when compared to native kraft lignin. The high dissolution performance and favorable sustainable features makes this solvent highly appealing for future large-scale 31 32 dissolution and manipulation of lignin into novel biomaterials.

33

34 **1. Introduction**

35 The concern to reduce the consumption and dependence of fossil fuels has led to an increase in the demand for products manufactured from renewable and sustainable sources, such as 36 37 lignin. Lignin is an amorphous, polyphenolic polymer, being the second most abundant 38 renewable biomaterial on Earth [1]. Today, the main source of lignin available is isolated from 39 spent pulping liquors produced after chemical pulping of wood. By far, the most important 40 pulping methods are kraft and sulfite cooking. The content of the most important functional 41 groups presents in the lignin materials, such as the phenolic hydroxyl, carboxyl, and sulfonate 42 groups, varies with the pulping process applied. Due to its high carbon content, high thermal 43 stability, biodegradability, antioxidant activity and favorable stiffness, there is a great interest 44 of using lignin to produce value-added products for various applications, such as reinforcement fillers, antioxidants, UV adsorbents, antimicrobial agents, carbon fibre precursors, 45

46 and biomaterials for tissue engineering and gene therapy [2,3]. The solubility in the water-based 47 cooking liquors is governed by the number of dissociating groups available in the lignin and pH values approaching and exceeding the pKa value of the dissociating functional group [4– 48 49 6]. For example, in kraft ligning the pKa value for the main dissociating phenolic moieties is close to 10, while in sulfite lignins the sulfonate groups have pKa's around 1-2. Like for other 50 51 polymers, the polydispersity introduces differences in the solubility characteristics within the 52 size distribution, which make low molecular fragments much easier to dissolve than larger molecules. The reason is that the lower the molecular weight, the stringer is the entropic 53 54 driving force contribution for dissolution. These considerations refer to the translational 55 entropy of mixing. Moreover, due to the most frequent depolymerization reaction in kraft 56 cooking, the number of free phenolic groups increases when the molecular mass decreases 57 [7]. It has been found that it is possible to re-dissolve aggregated lignin if certain surface 58 active additives are introduced to a lignin dispersion; small additions of bile acid salts have 59 been shown especially efficient to re-dissolve kraft lignin in aqueous solutions[8]. The effect 60 of bile salts can, most likely, be assigned to an association at hydrophobic sites in the lignin 61 structure, which then increases the hydrophilicity of the lignin. Moreover, in water the 62 dissolution and colloidal stability of lignin can be increased by additions of certain 63 electrolytes (so called "salting in" effect), which shows that ion specific effects are also 64 important for solvency [9].

The ideal scenario would be the development of a solvent that, besides being highly efficient and easily tuned to fit different types of lignin, should be also inexpensive, nontoxic, low volatility, and viscosity [10]. In this respect, the use of sustainable solvents, such as, γ-

valerolactone and levulinic acid, can represent an interesting approach for lignin dissolution 68 69 [11]. y-valerolactone has already been successfully included in binary solvent mixtures with 70 water, dimethyl sulfoxide, and dimethylformamide for lignin dissolution [10]. The amount of 71 lignin that can be dissolved in these y-valerolactone-based mixtures was greater than most 72 common organic solvents [13]. However, at the best of our knowledge, the use of levulinic 73 acid as a direct solvent for lignin dissolution has never been described. This green organic 74 compound, has ketone and carboxylic groups which provides a wide range of functionality 75 and reactivity [16]. Levulinic acid has been used in many applications, such as textile dying, 76 pharmaceutical products, antifreezing agent, resin, food flavoring additive, coating material, 77 and animal feed [17]. Despite nowadays the use of renewable materials still carry reasonable 78 expensive precursors, low yields, and lack of efficient separation procedures [18], there is a 79 growing interest on this "green" acid. Levulinic acid has a large potential to be obtained from different renewable sources, such as sugars (e.g., glucose, sucrose and fructose) and biomass 80 81 (e.g., wood, starch and agricultural wastes), through different dehydrating acid treatment 82 [19].

In this work, we intend to evaluate the performance of levulinic acid as a direct dissolution system for lignin and shed light on the mechanisms involved in lignin dissolution. Therefore Kraft lignin was dissolved with levulinic acid, and a set different of carboxylic acids (e.g. 2methylbutyric, 2-methylvaleric acid, glacial acetic acid and propionic acid) and a strong inorganic acid (i.e. sulfuric acid), and their dissolution efficiency was compared. The effect of diluting levulinic acid with water is also evaluated in regard of its dissolution performance. The Kamlet-Taft π^* parameter was estimated for the dissolution systems and correlated with 90 lignin solubility. Additionally, lignins dissolved in levulinic and formic acids were regenerated
91 and analyzed by infrared spectroscopy, thermogravimetry and scanning electron
92 microscopy.

93

- 94 **2. Materials and methods**
- 95

96 **2.1. Materials**

97 Kraft lignin (selected as the model lignin) and 2-methylbutyric acid were purchased from 98 Sigma-Aldrich. Levulinic and formic acids were obtained from Merck and 2-methylvaleric acid 99 from Lancaster Synthesis. Acetic acid glacial and sulfuric acid were acquired from Panreac 100 while the propionic acid was obtained from Honeywell - Fluka. Sodium hydroxide (NaOH) was 101 purchased from José Manuel Gomes dos Santos, Lda., (Porto, Portugal). The 4-nitroanisol 102 (4NA) was acquired from Dagma. All the chemicals were used as received. The chemical 103 structures of different acids are depicted in the Figure 1.



105 Figure 1. Molecular structures of the acids used .

106

107 **2.2. Dissolution efficiency of lignin**

Dispersions of kraft lignin were stirred with a magnetic stirrer for 24 h at 25, 40 and 60 °C. 108 After centrifugation for 1 h at 14000 rpm (17968 g), the supernatant was removed and 109 110 diluted with 1 wt. % NaOH(aq.) to determine the total content of dissolved lignin. The 111 amount of lignin was estimated by measuring the absorbance at 288 nm using a UV-Vis 112 spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-2450). Calibration curves (i.e. nine solutions with 113 concentrations from 0.0001 to 0.004 wt. % kraft lignin in 1 wt. % NaOH(aq.) solvent) were 114 previously prepared. Samples were left to equilibrate and inspected over time by naked eye 115 and under optical microscopy (Linkam LTS 120 microscope equipped with a Q imaging station 116 (Qicam) Fast 1394 camera).

118 **2.3. Solvatochromic Kamlet-Taft measurements**

The solvatochromic probe 4-nitroanisol (4NA) was used to estimate the Kamlet-Taft 119 120 parameter π^* (polarizability index). A stock solution of the dye in DCM was prepared to a 121 concentration of 4 mM. The dye stock solution was added to the solvents under study to a 122 final concentration of 0.1 mM, that enables to obtain the absorbance values within the 123 required measurable range, and DCM was removed by evaporation at room temperature. 124 The absorption spectra of the solvatochromic probe was recorded from 250 nm to 500 nm in a quartz cell with a 10 mm path length, using a Shimadzu UV/Vis spectrometer UV-1700 125 126 at 1 nm stepwise. The wavelength at maximum absorption v_{max} was determined for the 127 probe in each solvent. The π^* parameter was determined according to Kamlet and Taft [20], 128 using the equation:

129
$$\pi^* = \frac{(34.12 - \nu_{max})}{2.343} \tag{1}$$

130 The constant values were obtained from multiple correlation equations that set π^* at zero 131 for cyclohexane and unity for dimethyl sulfoxide [21].

132

133 **2.4. Rheometry**

The rheological measurements were carried out on a Haake Mars III (Thermo Fisher Scientific) set with the cone-plate geometry (1°, 35 mm, 0.052 mm gap). A Peltier unit was used to ensure strict temperature control, which was set to 20.0 ± 0.1 °C. The Newtonian viscosity was accessed by nonlinear rotational tests from 0.1 to 50 Pa.

140 Infrared spectra were recorded with a Thermo Nicolet 380 FT-IR apparatus (Thermo 141 Scientific) equipped with Smart Orbit Diamond ATR system. The FTIR analysis was performed 142 within the wave number range of 4000-400 cm⁻¹. A total of 68 scans were used for each spectrum at a resolution of 8 cm⁻¹ in the absorbance mode. The background spectrum was 143 144 subtracted before each analysis. All the samples were freeze-dried before FTIR assays.

145

146

2.6. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)

147 Thermograms were measured using a thermogravimetric analyzer, TG 209 F Tarsus (Netzsch 148 Instruments). Previously lyophilized samples were weighed in aluminum pans (ca. 3 mg) and 149 subsequently heated from 25 to 1000 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C.min⁻¹ under N₂ 150 atmosphere (flow rate of 50 mL.min⁻¹).

151

152 2.7. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

153 A VEGA3 SBH from TESCAN scanning electron microscope equipped with a selected energy 154 dispersive X-ray microanalyser (EDX) was used to access the microstructural features of the 155 regenerated lignins. Before the SEM analysis, the samples were freeze dried, deposited 156 directly over the carbon tape on the support and sputtered with an approximately 6 nm thin 157 Au/Pd film, by cathodic pulverization using a SPI Module Sputter Coater, during 90 s at a current of 15 mA. The accelerating voltage used ranged from 5 to 15 kV, and the work 158 159 distance (WD) was set to 10 mm.

160

3. Results and discussion 161

162

163 **3.1. Dissolution efficiency and Kamlet-Taft parameters**

164 The efficiency of levulinic acid to dissolve 10 wt. % kraft lignin was evaluated and compared 165 with five carboxylic acids and sulfuric acid. For all carboxylic acids, an increase of dissolution 166 efficiency of lignin is verified with the reduction of the carbon chain length (Figure 2). A 167 similar trend was previously found for alcohols [22]. Surprisingly, levulinic acid does not 168 follow this trend since, despite having a long carbon chain, it can dissolve up to 40 wt. % kraft 169 lignin. This sustainable solvent shows a similar dissolution performance to formic acid, but 170 much higher than the carboxylic acids of similar chain length (e.g., 2-methylbutyric acid). This 171 higher solubility efficiency might be due to the presence of an additional ketone group in the 172 levulinic acid structure. The sulfuric acid was able to fully dissolve lignin until a concentration 173 of 10 wt. %. Its dissolution efficiency strongly decreases for higher amounts of lignin, as can 174 be observed in Figure 3. The pH value of each lignin solution was analyzed, and it is reported 175 in Figure 2. The data suggests that the efficiency of the dissolution does not have a direct 176 relationship to the pH value. In all cases, the samples were prepared in a pH region below 177 the pKa of the acids, and the dissolution performances are strikingly different. Note that, 178 levulinic, acetic, and propionic acids have a rather similar pKa, ca. 4.8, [23,24].



180 Figure 2. pH and dissolution efficiency of 0.1 (black), 1 (gray) and 10 (light gray) wt. % kraft

181 lignin dispersed in different acids. Samples marker with "*" show pH below zero.

182 1 a 185 186 187

Figure 3. Polarized light micrographs of (a) 10 and (b) 20 wt. % kraft lignin dissolved in sulfuric
acid, at room temperature. The scale bars represent 1 mm.

190

179

191 The Kamlet-Taft solvatochromic parameter π^* was estimated for the different acidic solvents

- 192 (Figure 4). Data suggest that increasing polarizability of the acidic solvents favors lignin
- 193 dissolution; acids with high polarizability ($\pi^* > 0.9$) were the most effective to dissolve lignin.

194 This trend is opposite to what was verified for the alkaline systems [25]. Furthermore, the trend observed for carboxylic acids, in which solubility is favored by a higher π^* and a smaller 195 196 carbon chain, is similar to alcohols, where it has already been described that π^* of methanol is higher than that of 2-propanol [26] and the lignin solubility is higher in methanol [27,28]. 197



198

199 Figure 4. Solvatochromic parameter π^* for the different acidic solvent systems.

200

208

201 A systematic study on the lignin dissolution efficiency with different concentrations of levulinic acid aqueous solutions was performed, using different initial lignin concentrations 202 203 (i.e., 1, 10, 20 and 30 wt. %) and temperatures (i.e., 25, 40 and 60 °C). As it is possible to 204 observe in Table 1, the temperature rise benefits dissolution in all cases, and this normal 205 thermal effect has been previously reported for other solvent systems [10,29,30]. 206 Lignin dissolution was found complete for water concentrations below 40 wt. % (5.17 M). In

this case, it was possible to dissolve up to 40 wt. % lignin (the same amount using 207 concentrated levulinic acid). For diluted levulinic acid aqueous solutions, above 40 wt. %

209 water, lignin dissolution was incomplete. A similar behavior has been reported for γ -210 valerolactone aqueous system [10]. It was suggested that, for water contents above 50 wt. %, a strong interaction (H-bonding network) between water and γ -valerolactone is 211 212 established decreasing the solvent performance towards lignin. It is reasonable to assume 213 that a similar behavior might be occurring with the levulinic acid system. The water effect 214 and sensitivity in lignin solubility has been observed in other solvent systems, such as ionic 215 liquids (IL); in the IL pyridinium formate, a small amount of water is sufficient to remarkably 216 affect the dissolution [29]. The higher the amount of water the lower the solubility of lignin, 217 being negligible above 50 % (v/v) of water. In the alkylimidazolium-based IL systems, water 218 tolerance is higher and 30 wt. % water in the IL still favors lignin solubility [31].

219

Table 1. Dissolution efficiency (%) of kraft lignin in levulinic acid aqueous solutions. Effect of
levulinic acid and lignin concentrations at different temperatures.

Levulinic acid		Temperature (ºC)			
	Kraft lignin (wt. %)				
(M)		25	40	60	
	1	10.93 ± 0.07	12.0 ± 0.2	16.5 ± 0.2	
	10	3.28 ± 0.04	3.68 ± 0.02	4.6 ± 0.5	
0.86					
	20	2.16 ± 0.02	2.33 ± 0.04	2.56 ± 0.01	
	30	1.75 ± 0.00	1.79 ± 0.01	2.06 ± 0.01	
	1	23.6 ± 0.9	24.8 ± 0.2	30.9 ± 0.8	
1.72					
	10	7.84 ± 0.09	8.4 ± 0.1	8.5 ± 0.1	

	20	5.30 ± 0.05	5.4 ± 0.2	6.0 ± 0.1
	30	3.94 ± 0.00	3.96 ± 0.04	4.14 ± 0.03
	1	41.70 ± 0.03	42.9 ± 0.1	48.5 ± 0.9
2.58	10	16.0 ± 0.3	17.9 ± 0.3	21.49 ± 0.04
	20	10.86 ± 0.05	11.6 ± 0.2	11.6 ± 0.2
	30	7.4 ± 0.4	9.9 ± 0.2	10.58 ± 0.01
	1	71.0 ± 0.7	79 ± 1	90.11 ± 0.01
3.44	10	31±1	36.5 ± 0.4	40.2 ± 0.1
	20	23.2 ± 0.4	24.1 ± 0.1	28.2 ± 0.8
	30	15.8 ± 0.2	17.8 ± 0.5	18.3 ± 0.1
	1	99 ± 4	100	100
4.31	10	61.4 ± 0.4	67 ± 3	78.9 ± 0.9
	20	63 ± 2	61.4 ± 0.3	75.2 ± 0.5
	30	44 ± 2	49 ± 3	65 ± 3
	1	100	100	100
5.17	10	100	100	100
	20	100	100	100
	30	100	100	100

222

223

224 The water content effect on the solvatochromic parameter π^* was also evaluated (Figure 5).

Overall, this parameter was found to decrease with increasing water content. Above 60 wt.

226 % of water (4.31 M levulinic acid), the π^* approaches the π^* value of pure water. As we have 227 previously observed, this also coincides with the point where the dissolution efficiency is 228 seriously compromised.



Figure 5. Solvatochromic parameter π^* of levulinic acid aqueous solutions with different water contents.

232

229

233 **3.2. Rheology**

Using a levulinic acid aqueous binary system has several obvious advantages. Not only the solvent system becomes inexpensive and more environmentally friendly, but the viscosity significantly decreases benefiting its manipulation. Remarkably, by adding water, it is possible to decrease the viscosity by, ca. one order of magnitude without seriously compromising the dissolution efficiently of levulinic acid (Figure 6). The lower solution viscosity has benefits in some applications, such as in the production of lignin-based polyurethane foams, where the high viscosity of commercial lignins is a serious issue [32] or in development of lignin-based composite materials, where the typical high viscosity limits,

for instance, the potential of grafting [33].





Figure 6. Newtonian viscosity of solutions with 30 (full symbols) and 40 (empty symbols) wt.
% kraft lignin dissolved in levulinic acid with different water concentrations. Note that in all
cases lignin dissolution is complete.

247

3.3. Lignin characterization after solubilization in levulinic and formic acids

249 After full dissolution in levulinic acid, lignin was precipitated and recovered by adding excess

water. The regenerated material was lyophilized and characterized using FTIR, TGA and SEM.

251 For comparison, the same procedure was performed with formic acid which, in the same

conditions, has a similar dissolution performance to levulinic acid (see Figure 2 for details).

253

3.3.1. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy

255 The FTIR spectra of the initial and regenerated lignins, after dissolution in levulinic and formic 256 acids, are shown in Figure 7 and 8. The ratio of absorbances A_x/A_{1600} , where Ax represents 257 each band identified and numbered in Figures 7 and 8, allows a comparative assessment of 258 the effect of type of acids and concentration on the lignin structure [38,39]. This analysis was 259 performed for all observed vibrational modes, and the data is summarized in tables S1 and 260 S2. The reference band (R) can be attributed to aromatic skeletal vibrations plus the C=O 261 stretch [34]. The most significant difference in spectra is the appearance of a well-defined 262 band at 1705 – 1712 cm⁻¹ (#1). This band is assigned to the C=O stretching in unconjugated 263 ketone, carbonyl and in ester groups [34]. In electrochemical applications, the increase 264 content of carbonyl groups is an advantage for enhanced protonic and electrical 265 conductivities in active materials [35]. Its relative intensity is higher using formic acid than when using levulinic acid in the dissolution process. For the diluted levulinic acid, the lower 266 267 the acid concentration, the less intense the band is (Figure 9). The band #2, at 1510 – 1512 cm⁻¹, is assigned to the aromatic skeleton vibration [34]. The absence of a significant 268 269 decrease in the relative intensity of this band suggests that the dissolution and regeneration 270 processes do not affect significantly the integrity of the aromatic rings [36]. The decrease of 271 the relative absorbance in the bands #3 (i.e., C-H bending of the methyl or methylene groups 272 [37]) and #4 (i.e., aromatic skeletal vibration combined with the C-H asymmetric deformation 273 of the methyl groups [34]) for all regenerated lignins, suggests the possible hydrolysis of the 274 methoxy groups during the dissolution or regeneration process [36]. In addition, the intensity decreases of the C-O deformation in primary (#11) and secondary (#10) alcohols, 275 276 suggests a degradation of the aliphatic chain of the phenyl propane units for all regenerated 277 lignins. This reaction also contributes for the enhancement of the relative intensity of the 278 C=O band [36]. Differences between levulinic and formic acid are observed regarding the 279 cleavage of ether bonds. Using levulinic acid, an increase of the vibrational band assigned to 280 the phenolic hydroxyl groups occurs[29] (#5) while a decrease of the intensity of the ether-281 O bands [29] (#9), is observed. Such decrease is most likely related to the cleavage of these 282 linkages, mainly β-O-4, the most abundant linkage in lignin and one of the most easily cleaved 283 bonds [40]. Using formic acid, an opposite effect was observed.

284





289



290

291 Figure 8. Normalized FTIR spectra of: (a) initial lignin; recovered lignin after dissolution in (b)

- concentrated levulinic acid and levulinic acid aqueous solutions of (c) 7.75 M, (d) 6.89 M, (e)
- 293 6.03 M, and (f) 5.17 M. The vibration mode assignment and semiquantitative analysis is
- 294 performed in Table S2 and discussed in the text.



295

Figure 92. Semi-quantitative analysis (A₁₇₀₅/A₁₆₀₀) of initial and regenerated lignins.

297

3.3.2. Thermogravimetric analysis

299 The thermal decomposition of native and regenerated lignins was performed and compared in Figure 10. From the analysis of thermograms and corresponding DTGs, it can be observed 300 301 that some weight loss occurs at temperatures between 80-150 °C, corresponding to the 302 evaporation of physically adsorbed water [41]. In the raw lignin and regenerated material after the dissolution in levulinic acid, this step occurs until 100 °C. In the case of the lignin 303 regenerated after being dissolved in formic acid, this step is verified until 150 °C, most likely 304 305 due to the volatilization of low molecular weight compounds in addition to the removal of water [29]. The main degradation step occurs at a maximum temperature, $T_{\rm m}$, corresponding 306 307 to the maximum rate of degradation, 383 °C for the initial lignin. However, for the regenerated lignin previously dissolved in formic and levulinic acids, the T_m decreases to 377 308 and 367 °C, respectively. These values are in agreement with those reported in literature, 309

310 where most lignins present their maximum weight loss rate between 300 and 400 °C [41]. Neverteless, , these results also suggest that lignin becomes less structured upon 311 312 regeneration. This effect is more pronounced when using levulinic acid, due to the cleavage of the ether linkages discussed in the FTIR analysis. After heating to 900 °C, the regenerated 313 314 material obtained after dissolution in formic and levulinic acids, have a portion unvolatilized 315 equivalent to ca. 28 and 33 wt. %, respectively. Since in the initial kraft lignin, ca. 29 wt. % remains unvolatilized, this suggests that some condensed aromatic structures may be 316 317 formed during the dissolution and regeneration processes[43].

318





Figure 10. TGA (left) and the correspondent DTG (right) of the regenerated material obtained from the dissolution of 40 wt. % kraft lignin in formic (light gray line) and levulinic acid (gray

line). The initial raw kraft lignin is represented with a black line.

323



325 The dissolution and regeneration effects on the morphology and microstructure of the 326 regenerated materials were analyzed by SEM and compared to the initial raw kraft lignin 327 (Figure 11). The initial lignin sample presents particles with different shapes and sizes, which 328 are typical for this type of lignin [44]. Their average size is in accordance with previous works, 329 ca. 1-2 μm [45]. On the other hand, the regenerated lignins present some differences; lignin 330 dissolved in formic acid (Figures 11c and 11d) have a more heterogeneous structure, with 331 larger and very smaller particles, in comparison to the initial lignin. On the other hand, when 332 dissolved in levulinic acid (Figures 11e and 11f) the structure is more homogeneous, but the 333 particles are smaller when compared to raw lignin. Most likely, this morphological effect is 334 due to higher degree of depolymerization, as suggested by the FTIR and TG analysis.

335



336

Figure 11. SEM images at different magnifications of a, b) initial raw lignin; c, d) lignin

regenerated after dissolution in formic acid; and e, f) lignin regenerated after dissolution in

levulinic acid. Magnification x 5,000 and 20,000.

341

342 **4.** Conclusions

343 This work reports, for the first time, the use of levulinic acid as a sustainable solvent of 344 superior performance for lignin dissolution. In addition to the benefits of using an environmentally friendly solvent when compared to the harmful systems commonly used, 345 346 levulinic acid is still quite efficient upon water dilution up to a concentration of ca. 5.17 M. 347 Lignin dissolution seems to correlate well with solvent polarizability. The addition of water 348 greatly reduces the viscosity of the solution, which might facilitate large-scale processing, 349 such as mixing, pumping or extrusion from containers, and also makes the solvent 350 inexpensive. The ligning dissolved in levulinic can be regenerated by the addition of excess 351 water. These regenerated materials have different contents of functional groups, being the most important difference found in the C=O content. Besides that, the FTIR analysis suggests 352 353 the occurrence of partial methoxy groups hydrolysis, degradation of aliphatic chain of phenylpropane and cleavage of ether bonds. Nevertheless, these changes do not result in 354 355 significant differences in terms of thermal stability and morphological characteristics, when 356 compared to the initial raw material or lignin dissolved in formic acid. Overall, this work 357 characterizes a novel and ecofriendly aqueous-based solvent for lignin, which might be very interesting for its processing at the industrial level, creating opportunities for the 358 359 development of new applications for lignin that have not been exploited so far due to its

360 difficult dissolution. Additionally, this work also sheds light on the mechanisms involved in

lignin dissolution highlighting the role of solvent polarizability.

362

363 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by funding from the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT) through the projects PTDC/AGR-TEC/4814/2014, PTDC/ASP-SIL/30619/2017, UIDB/05183/2020 and the researcher grant IF/01005/2014. Elodie Melro is grateful for the PhD grant (SFRH/BD/132835/2017) from FCT. The CQC is supported by FCT through the project PEstOE/QUI/UI0313/2013.

369

370 References

- Y. Pu, N. Jiang, A.J. Ragauskas, Ionic liquid as a green solvent for lignin, J. Wood Chem.
 Technol. (2007). doi:10.1080/02773810701282330.
- 273 [2] D. Kai, M.J. Tan, P.L. Chee, Y.K. Chua, Y.L. Yap, X.J. Loh, Towards lignin-based functional
- 374 materials in a sustainable world, Green Chem. 18 (2016) 1175–1200.
 375 doi:10.1039/c5gc02616d.
- 376 [3] M. Norgren, H. Edlund, Lignin: Recent advances and emerging applications, Curr. Opin.
 377 Colloid Interface Sci. (2014). doi:10.1016/j.cocis.2014.08.004.
- M. Norgren, B. Lindström, Dissociation of phenolic groups in kraft lignin at elevated
 temperatures, Holzforschung. (2000). doi:10.1515/HF.2000.088.
- 380 [5] M. Norgren, H. Edlund, L. Wågberg, B. Lindström, G. Annergren, Aggregation of kraft
- 381 lignin derivatives under conditions relevant to the process, part I: Phase behaviour,

- 382 Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem. Eng. Asp. (2001). doi:10.1016/S0927383 7757(01)00753-1.
- M. Norgren, H. Edlund, L. Wågberg, Aggregation of lignin derivatives under alkaline
 conditions. Kinetics and aggregate structure, Langmuir. (2002).
 doi:10.1021/la011627d.
- 387 [7] M. Norgren, B. Lindström, Physico-chemical characterization of a fractionated kraft
 388 lignin, Holzforschung. (2000). doi:10.1515/HF.2000.089.
- 389 [8] M. Norgren, H. Edlund, Stabilisation of kraft lignin solutions by surfactant additions,
- 390 Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem. Eng. Asp. (2001). doi:10.1016/S0927391 7757(01)00806-8.
- M. Norgren, H. Edlund, Ion specific differences in salt induced precipitation of kraft
 lignin, Nord. Pulp Pap. Res. J. (2003). doi:10.3183/npprj-2003-18-04-p400-403.
- Z. Xue, X. Zhao, R.C. Sun, T. Mu, Biomass-derived γ-valerolactone-based solvent
 systems for highly efficient dissolution of various lignins: Dissolution behavior and
 mechanism study, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. (2016).
 doi:10.1021/acssuschemeng.6b00639.
- X.R. Shen, D.Z. Xia, Y.X. Xiang, J.G. Gao, γ-valerolactone (GVL) as a bio-based green
 solvent and ligand for iron-mediated AGET ATRP, E-Polymers. (2019).
 doi:10.1515/epoly-2019-0033.
- 401 [12] D.M. Alonso, S.G. Wettstein, J.A. Dumesic, Gamma-valerolactone, a sustainable
 402 platform molecule derived from lignocellulosic biomass, Green Chem. (2013).
 403 doi:10.1039/c3gc37065h.

- 404 [13] E. Melro, L. Alves, F.E. Antunes, B. Medronho, A brief overview on lignin dissolution, J.
- 405 Mol. Liq. 265 (2018). doi:10.1016/j.molliq.2018.06.021.
- 406 [14] H. Singh, N. Iyengar, R. Yadav, A. Rai, A.K. Sinha, Facile conversion of levulinic acid to
- 407 γ -valerolactone using a high surface area magnetically separable Ni/NiO catalyst,
- 408 Sustain. Energy Fuels. (2018). doi:10.1039/c8se00274f.
- 409 [15] L. Lomba, B. Giner, I. Bandrés, C. Lafuente, M.R. Pino, Physicochemical properties of
 410 green solvents derived from biomass, Green Chem. (2011). doi:10.1039/c0gc00853b.
- 411 [16] D.W. Rackemann, W.O. Doherty, The conversion of lignocellulosics to levulinic acid,
 412 Biofuels, Bioprod. Biorefining. (2011). doi:10.1002/bbb.267.
- 413 [17] C. CHANG, X. MA, P. CEN, Kinetics of Levulinic Acid Formation from Glucose
 414 Decomposition at High Temperature, Chinese J. Chem. Eng. (2006).
 415 doi:10.1016/s1004-9541(06)60139-0.
- 416 [18] D. Datta, M.E. Marti, D. Pal, S. Kumar, Equilibrium study on the extraction of levulinic
- acid from aqueous solution with aliquat 336 dissolved in different diluents: Solvent's
 polarity effect and column design, J. Chem. Eng. Data. (2017).
 doi:10.1021/acs.jced.6b00164.
- 420 [19] C. Chang, P. Cen, X. Ma, Levulinic acid production from wheat straw, Bioresour.
 421 Technol. (2007). doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2006.03.031.
- 422 [20] M.J. Kamlet, J.L. Abboud, R.W. Taft, The Solvatochromic Comparison Method. 6. The
 423 π* Scale of Solvent Polarities1, J. Am. Chem. Soc. (1977). doi:10.1021/ja00460a031.

M.M.

Domínguez,

A.G.

González,

G. López-Pérez,

424

[21]

D.

González-Arjona,

425 Solvatochromism: A Comprehensive Project for the Final Year Undergraduate

426 Chemistry Laboratory, J. Lab. Chem. Educ. (2016). doi:10.5923/j.jlce.20160403.01. A. Duval, F. Vilaplana, C. Crestini, M. Lawoko, Solvent screening for the fractionation 427 [22] 428 of industrial kraft lignin, Holzforschung. 70 (2016) 11–20. doi:10.1515/hf-2014-0346. 429 T.H. Eberlein, Essentials of Organic Chemistry: For Students of Pharmacy, Medicinal [23] 430 Chemistry, and Biological Chemistry (Paul M. Dewick), J. Chem. Educ. (2008). 431 doi:10.1021/ed085p204. 432 A.A. Sibirny, Biotechnology of yeasts and filamentous fungi, 2017. doi:10.1007/978-3-[24] 433 319-58829-2. 434 E. Melro, A. Filipe, D. Sousa, A.J.M. Valente, A. Romano, F.E. Antunes, B. Medronho, [25] 435 Dissolution of kraft lignin in alkaline solutions, Int. J. Biol. Macromol. (2020). 436 doi:10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2020.01.153. 437 [26] W.J. Cheong, P.W. Carr, Kamlet-Taft π^* Polarizability/Dipolarity of Mixtures of Water with Various Organic Solvents, Anal. Chem. (1988). doi:10.1021/ac00159a018. 438 439 J. Sameni, S. Krigstin, M. Sain, Solubility of Lignin and Acetylated Lignin in Organic [27] 440 Solvents, BioResources. (2017). doi:10.15376/biores.12.1.1548-1565. 441 J. Sun, T. Dutta, R. Parthasarathi, K.H. Kim, N. Tolic, R.K. Chu, N.G. Isern, J.R. Cort, B.A. [28] 442 Simmons, S. Singh, Rapid room temperature solubilization and depolymerization of 443 polymeric lignin at high loadings, Green Chem. (2016). doi:10.1039/c6gc02258h. 444 T. Rashid, C.F. Kait, I. Regupathi, T. Murugesan, Dissolution of kraft lignin using Protic [29] characterization, 445 lonic Liquids and Ind. Crops Prod. (2016). doi:10.1016/j.indcrop.2016.02.017. 446 447 [30] D. Glas, C. Van Doorslaer, D. Depuydt, F. Liebner, T. Rosenau, K. Binnemans, D.E. De

- 448 Vos, Lignin solubility in non-imidazolium ionic liquids, J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.
 449 (2015). doi:10.1002/jctb.4492.
- 450 [31] Y. Wang, L. Wei, K. Li, Y. Ma, N. Ma, S. Ding, L. Wang, D. Zhao, B. Yan, W. Wan, Q.
- 451 Zhang, X. Wang, J. Wang, H. Li, Lignin dissolution in dialkylimidazolium-based ionic
- 452 liquid-water mixtures, Bioresour. Technol. (2014).
 453 doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2014.08.020.
- J. Bernardini, P. Cinelli, I. Anguillesi, M.B. Coltelli, A. Lazzeri, Flexible polyurethane
 foams green production employing lignin or oxypropylated lignin, Eur. Polym. J. 64
 (2015) 147–156. doi:10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2014.11.039.
- 457 [33] W. Thielemans, E. Can, S.S. Morye, R.P. Wool, Novel applications of lignin in composite
 458 materials, J. Appl. Polym. Sci. (2002). doi:10.1002/app.2247.
- 459 [34] O. Faix, Classification of Lignins from Different Botanical Origins by FT-IR Spectroscopy,
 460 Holzforschung. (1991). doi:10.1515/hfsg.1991.45.s1.21.
- 461 [35] Ł. Klapiszewski, T.J. Szalaty, B. Kurc, M. Stanisz, B. Zawadzki, A. Skrzypczak, T.
- 462 Jesionowski, Development of Acidic Imidazolium Ionic Liquids for Activation of Kraft
- 463 Lignin by Controlled Oxidation: Comprehensive Evaluation and Practical Utility,
- 464 Chempluschem. (2018). doi:10.1002/cplu.201800123.
- 465 [36] A.A.M.A. Nada, M.A. Yousef, K.A. Shaffei, A.M. Salah, Infrared spectroscopy of some
 466 treated lignins, Polym. Degrad. Stab. (1998). doi:10.1016/S0141-3910(97)00273-5.
- 467 [37] S. Sathawong, W. Sridach, K.A. Techato, Lignin: Isolation and preparing the lignin
 468 based hydrogel, J. Environ. Chem. Eng. (2018). doi:10.1016/j.jece.2018.05.008.
- 469 [38] A. Tawansi, A. El-Khodary, M.M. Abdelnaby, A study of the physical properties of FeCl3

470 filled PVA, Curr. Appl. Phys. (2005). doi:10.1016/j.cap.2004.06.026.

- 471 [39] A. Papancea, A.J.M. Valente, S. Patachia, Diffusion and sorption studies of dyes
 472 through PVA cryogel membranes, J. Appl. Polym. Sci. (2010). doi:10.1002/app.30983.
- 473 [40] P.K. Mishra, A. Ekielski, The self-assembly of lignin and its application in nanoparticle

474 synthesis: A short review, Nanomaterials. (2019). doi:10.3390/nano9020243.

- 475 [41] H. Yoshida, R. Mörck, K.P. Kringstad, H. Hatakeyama, Fractionation of kraft lignin by
 476 successive extraction with organic solvents: II. Thermal properties of kraft lignin
 477 fractions, Holzforschung. 41 (1987) 171–176. doi:10.1515/hfsg.1987.41.3.171.
- 478 [42] R.C. Sun, J. Tomkinson, G. Lloyd Jones, Fractional characterization of ash-AQ lignin by
 479 successive extraction with organic solvents from oil palm EFB fibre, Polym. Degrad.
 480 Stab. (2000). doi:10.1016/S0141-3910(99)00174-3.
- 481 [43] A. Tejado, C. Peña, J. Labidi, J.M. Echeverria, I. Mondragon, Physico-chemical
 482 characterization of lignins from different sources for use in phenol-formaldehyde resin

483 synthesis, Bioresour. Technol. (2007). doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2006.05.042.

- 484 [44] M. Wysokowski, Ł. Klapiszewski, D. Moszyński, P. Bartczak, T. Szatkowski, I. Majchrzak,
- 485 K. Siwińska-Stefańska, V. V. Bazhenov, T. Jesionowski, Modification of chitin with kraft
 486 lignin and development of new biosorbents for removal of cadmium(II) and nickel(II)
- 487 ions, Mar. Drugs. (2014). doi:10.3390/md12042245.
- 488 [45] Q. Yan, J. Li, J. Zhang, Z. Cai, Thermal decomposition of Kraft Lignin under Gas
 489 atmospheres of argon, hydrogen, and carbon dioxide, Polymers (Basel). (2018).
 490 doi:10.3390/polym10070729.

492

Supporting information

493

494 Table S1

495 FTIR semiquantitative analysis of initial lignin and regenerated lignins obtained after496 dissolution in levulinic and formic acid.

	Krafi	Kraft Lignin		Regenerated lignin				
N⁰	Ridi			nic acid	Formic acid			
	Band	Ax/A1600	Band	Ax/A1600	Band	Ax/A1600		
1	Shoulder		1709	1.0284	1712	1.1980		
R	1593	1.0000	1593	1.0000	1595	1.000		
2	1510	1.4000	1512	1.4102	1510	1.4117		
3	1450	1.2761	1453	1.1936	1450	1.2597		
4	1423	1.2598	1423	1.1964	1423	1.1419		
5	1369	1.0246	1365	1.1297	1365	0.9763		
6	1261	1.7415	1265	1.7145	1257	1.6971		
7	1211	1.6863	1211	1.6682	1207	1.8733		
8	1142	1.7063	1153	1.5187	1153	2.0852		
9	1122	1.8015	1126	1.4058	1126	1.9083		
10	1080	1.6115	1080	1.2648	1080	1.5233		
11	1026	1.9720	1029	1.5989	1026	1.8130		
12	852	1.1367	856	1.0691	852	1.2165		
13	814	1.2230	814	1.1197	814	1.2725		

498 Table S2

499 FTIR semiquantitative analysis of regenerated lignins previously dissolved in levulinic acid

500 aqueous solutions of different concentrations.

Concentration of aqueous levulinic acid solutions								
Nº	7.75 M		6.98 M		6.03 M		5.17 M	
	Band	Ax/A1600	Band	Ax/A1600	Band	Ax/A1600	Band	Ax/A1600
1	1705	0.7560	1705	0.7039	1705	0.6920	1705	0.6752
R	1593	1.0000	1593	1.0000	1593	1.0000	1593	1.0000
2	1512	1.3896	1512	1.5545	1512	1.4660	1512	1.4776
3	1454	1.2140	1454	1.2633	1454	1.2369	1454	1.2427
4	1423	1.1710	1423	1.2022	1423	1.1834	1423	1.1771
5	1365	1.0415	1365	1.0477	1365	1.0338	1365	1.0251
6	1265	1.69808	1265	1.9444	1265	1.8308	1265	1.8519
7	1212	1.6611	1212	1.8605	1212	1.7822	1212	1.7659
8	1142	1.4671	1142	1.5618	1142	1.5233	1142	1.4932
9	1126	1.4557	1126	1.5240	1126	1.5059	1126	1.4682
10	1080	1.3050	1080	1.3409	1080	1.3432	1080	1.3061
11	1030	1.6658	1030	1.8235	1030	1.7837	1030	1.7562
12	852	1.1362	852	1.0803	852	1.1014	852	1.0806
13	814	1.2062	814	1.1594	814	1.1882	814	1.1594

501