Kinetic study of an autocatalytic reaction: nitrosation of formamidine disulfide†
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The reaction kinetics for the acid nitrosation of formamidine disulfide (FDS) show an autocatalytic behavior that arises from the fact that the thiocyanate ion formed as a product acts as a powerful catalyst for the nitrosation reaction. In the presence of added nucleophiles the suppression of the autocatalytic route results from competition for the nitrous acid between the added halides and the thiocyanate anion, which is formed as a reaction product. Analysis of the kinetic data enabled extraction of the bimolecular rate constants, $k_{NO^-} = (3.2 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$, $k_{NOBr} = (9.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ and $k_{NOCl} = (4.0 \pm 0.2) \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$, for the pathways catalyzed by SCN $^-$, Br$^-$ and Cl$^-$, respectively. Kinetic results are consistent with the attack on the nitrosating agent as the rate limiting step, i.e., the nitrosation of FDS behaves in a similar manner to the nitrosation of an amine. Rather different behavior is found for other substrates with an imino moiety adjacent to an amino nitrogen, such as the guanidines, which react by a mechanism in which the rate limiting step is the reorganization of the nitrosated substrate.

Introduction

Nitrosation reactions have important industrial,1 environmental2 and physiological3 relevance and are involved in the production of highly carcinogenic compounds such as nitrosamines.4 Increasing attention is being paid to the chemistry of nitrosamines owing to the toxicity5 and carcinogenic6 mutagenic,7 and teratogenic8 properties of these compounds. In more recent times, since the discovery of the amazing biological properties of nitric oxide there has been a large interest in the chemistry of S-nitrosothiols, these can act as possible vasodilators, anti-platelet aggregation agents etc.9

In this paper we report the kinetics for the nitrosation of formamidine disulfide (FDS). Formamidine disulfide is a dimeric species that is produced from the, rather complex, oxidation of thiourea.10,11 Formamidine disulfide also provides a convenient method to prepare monosubstituted guanidines,12 and is also used as an active oxidant in gold leaching systems.13 Formamidine disulfide also has an established role in biochemistry as a potent inhibitor of the enzyme amidinase transferase14 and of d-amino acid oxidase,15 with the disulfide character being a requisite for the inhibitory action since the enzyme inhibition results from the sulfhydryl disulfide exchange, blocking the use of the enzyme SH function.

In the absence of added nucleophilic species, nitrous acid produces two nitrosating agents: the nitrosyl ion (NO$^+$) and dinitrogen trioxide (N$_2$O$_3$).16 NO$^+$ is formed by the protonation and subsequent dehydration of nitrous acid, while N$_2$O$_3$ is formed under mildly acidic conditions (Scheme 1). The nitrosyl ion is highly reactive and in most cases the rates of reactions involving this species are diffusion controlled.17 However, the observed rate constants are small and easily measured due to the low concentration of the nitrosyl ion in the acidic medium ($K_{NO} = 3 \times 10^{-7}$ M$^{-1}$).16,18 The exact nature of the NO$^+$ ion is still a matter of debate, especially at high acidity values where the form HNOO$^-$H$^+$ also occurs. Nevertheless, this difference is rather unimportant in the present study since both proposed nitrosating agents would be kinetically equivalent.

A common feature of many nitrosation reactions is the ability of halide ions, the thiocyanate ion and other nucleophiles to act as catalysts in acidic solution.19 This is due to the equilibrium displacement towards the nitrosating agent NOX, when compared with the equilibrium constant of NO$^+$ formation ($K_{NO} = 1.1 \times 10^{-2}$, $5.1 \times 10^{-2}$ and $30$ M$^{-2}$ for X = Cl, Br and SCN, respectively).20–22 The rate constants for the nitrosyl group transfer from NOX follow the order NOCl $>$ NOBr $>$ NOCSN,23–27 demonstrating that the catalytic effect is due to the thermodynamics of the formation of the nitrosating agent.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{HNO}_2 + \text{H}^+ & \rightleftharpoons \text{NO}^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} & K_{\text{NO}^+} \\
2\text{HNO}_2 & \rightleftharpoons \text{N}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} & K_{\text{N}_2\text{O}_3} \\
\text{HNO}_2 + \text{H}^+ + \text{X} & \rightleftharpoons \text{NOX} + \text{H}_2\text{O} & K_{\text{NOX}}
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme 1
In the latter years of the 19th century, Storch showed that treatment of thiourea with nitrous acid led to the formation of a weak base (eqn (1)). Subsequently, Werner was able to observe the formation of a transient red intermediate, identified by Stedman and co-workers as \((\text{NH}_2)_2\text{CSNO}^+\), and to isolate the product formamidine disulfide in the form of the salt \((\text{NH}_2)_2\text{CSSC(NH}_2)_2\text{Cl}_2^{2+}\), 2X⁻.

\[
2\text{H}^+ + 2\text{HNO}_2 + 2(\text{NH}_2)_2\text{CS} \\
\rightarrow (\text{NH}_2)_2\text{CSSC(NH}_2)_2\text{Cl}_2^{2+} + 2\text{NO} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (1)
\]

Werner found that an excess of nitrous acid gave a further reaction, which led to the destruction of the formamidine disulfide.

\[
3(\text{NH}_2)_2\text{CSSC(NH}_2)_2\text{Cl}_2^{2+} + 6\text{HNO}_2 \\
\rightarrow 6\text{N}_2 + 5\text{HNC}S + \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 + \text{HCN} + 6\text{H}^+ \quad (2)
\]

The stability of formamidine disulfide in aqueous solution has also been studied and \(pK_a\) values of 5.49 and 7.66 have been measured. The formamidine disulfide decomposition (eqn (3)) involves a reactive tautomer of the doubly charged cation \((\text{HN}^+\text{N})\text{CSSC}^+(\text{NH}_2)_2\), which is attacked by \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) and a singly charged cation, resulting from the deprotonation of the diprotonated formamidine disulfide, which reacts through two parallel pathways involving \(\text{OH}^-\) and \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) as attacking bases.

\[
(\text{NH}_2)_2\text{CSSC(NH}_2)_2\text{Cl}_2^{2+} + 2\text{OH}^- \\
\rightarrow (\text{NH}_2)_2\text{CS} + \text{S} + \text{NH}_2\text{CN} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (3)
\]

The present work focuses on reaction (2) in the knowledge that complex behavior should be expected. Nitrosation of FDS involves the formation of the thiocyanate ion, which reacts with \(\text{HNO}_2\) to yield the nitrosating agent \(\text{NOSCN}\). As a result, an autocatalytic reaction will be observed.

**Experimental**

**Materials**

Formamidine disulfide dihydrochloride (\(\text{FDSH}_2^{-2+}2\text{Cl}^-\)) was initially synthesized following Werner’s method. The results are perfectly compatible with those obtained using the formamidine disulfide dihydrochloride (97.0%) supplied by Aldrich. Stock solutions of \(\text{FDSH}_2^{2+}2\text{Cl}^-\) were kept in excess perchloric acid to minimize decomposition, and fresh solutions were made up daily from the solid salt. All other chemicals were obtained from Aldrich and used without further purification. Cyanhydric acid (HCN) is formed in this reaction, special precautions must be taken when the reaction is carried out at higher concentrations of FDS.

**Kinetic methods**

Reaction rates were monitored spectrophotometrically at 370 nm, using a Cary 50 spectrophotometer at 25.0 °C. The ionic strength was kept constant (\(I = 1.00\) M) by adding the appropriate amount of \(\text{NaClO}_2\). The reactions were performed by mixing in the quartz cell the appropriate amounts of \(\text{FDSH}_2\text{Cl}_2\), \(\text{HClO}_4\), \(\text{NaNO}_2\), \(\text{NaSCN}\) or, alternatively, \(\text{NaCl}\) and \(\text{NaBr}\) solutions. \(\text{NaNO}_2\) was always added at the end in order to trigger the reaction. Kinetic analysis of absorbance vs. time data was performed by fitting the experimental data to the appropriate equation using the commercial software package Grafit.

**Results and discussion**

1. **Reaction in the absence of added halide ions**

Nitrosation of \(\text{FDSH}_2^{2+}\) involves the formation of the thiocyanate ion, which reacts with \(\text{HNO}_2\) to yield the nitrosating agent \(\text{NOSCN}\). As a result, an autocatalytic reaction was observed. Further evidence concerning the autocatalytic reaction was obtained by studying the nitrosation reaction in the presence of a large concentration of SCN⁻ (see below). In the experiments carried out at higher FDS concentration the presence of gas bubbles is observed, this is due to the formation of \(\text{N}_2\) as reaction product. The rate of the nitrosation process is given by the following equation, where \(\text{FDS}_r\) represents the reactive form of FDS.

\[
r = k_{\text{NO}}\cdot[\text{NO}^+]\cdot[\text{FDS}_r]\cdot[\text{NOSCN}]\cdot[\text{NOSCN}]\quad (4)
\]

We must account for the following equilibria (Scheme 2):

\[
\text{FDSH}_2^{2+} + \text{SCN}^- \rightarrow \text{FDS}_r + \text{SCN}^- + \text{H}^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (5)
\]

In all cases \([\text{FDSH}_2^{2+}] > [\text{HNO}_2]\), so we can consider \([\text{FDSH}_2^{2+}] \approx [\text{FDSH}_2^{2+}]_0\) and as \([\text{SCN}^-]\) at each instant is proportional to the consumed \(\text{HNO}_2\), \([\text{SCN}^-] = 5/6[\text{HNO}_2]_0 - [\text{HNO}_2]\), the rate eqn (5) can be simplified to:

\[
r = k_1[\text{HNO}_2] + 5/6k_2[\text{HNO}_2][\text{HNO}_2]_0 - [\text{HNO}_2] \quad (6)
\]

Where \(k_1 = k_{\text{NO}}\cdot k_{\text{NOSCN}}\cdot k_{\text{FDSH}_2^{2+}}\) and \(k_2 = k_{\text{SCN}^-}\cdot k_{\text{FDSH}_2^{2+}}\).

Considering: \(k_3 = k_1 + 5/6k_2[\text{HNO}_2]_0\), then:

\[
-\int_{[\text{HNO}_2]}^{[\text{HNO}_2]_0} \frac{d[\text{HNO}_2]}{[\text{HNO}_2]_0 - 5/6k_2[\text{HNO}_2]^2} = \int_0^t \frac{dr}{r} \quad (8)
\]

Integrating the former equation we obtain:

\[
[\text{HNO}_2] = \frac{k_3[\text{HNO}_2]_0}{(k_3 - 5/6k_2[\text{HNO}_2]_0)e^{k_3t} + 5/6k_2[\text{HNO}_2]_0} \quad (9)
\]

The reaction kinetics were studied at 370 nm by following the disappearance of \(\text{HNO}_2\). Considering \(A_\infty\) and \(A_0\) as the measured absorbances at time \(t\), at the end of the reaction and

\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text{HNO}_2 + \text{H}^+ & \Leftrightarrow \text{NO}^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} \\
\text{HNO}_3 + \text{H}^+ + \text{SCN}^- & \Leftrightarrow \text{NOSCN} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \\
\text{FDSH}_2^{2+} & \Leftrightarrow \text{FDSH}^+ + \text{H}^+ \\
\text{FDSH}^+ & \Leftrightarrow \text{FDS} + \text{H}^+ \\
\end{array}
\]

Scheme 2
where $e = 43.65 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ dm}^3$ for cells with a 1 cm path length.

The typical behavior of a kinetic run is shown in Fig. 1 and the z-shape of the absorbance vs. time plot is clearly observed. The solid line in the figure shows the good fit of the experimental data to eqn (10) and results are reported in Table 1.

Since $k_5 = k_1 + 5/6k_2[\text{HNO}_2]_0 = (k_{\text{NO}^-} \cdot \text{K}_{\text{NO}^-} \cdot K_a) + 5/6k_{\text{NOSCN}} \cdot \text{K}_{\text{NOSCN}} \cdot K_a \cdot [\text{HNO}_2]_0 / [\text{FDSH}_2^{2-}]_0$, if we plot $k_5 / [\text{FDSH}_2^{2-}]_0$ vs. $[\text{HNO}_2]_0$, as shown in Fig. 2, a linear dependence is observed where $k_{\text{NO}^-} \cdot \text{K}_{\text{NO}^-} \cdot K_a = (1.1 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $5/6k_{\text{NOSCN}} \cdot \text{K}_{\text{NOSCN}} \cdot K_a = 17.8 \pm 0.8 \text{ M}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$. A linear relationship between $5/6k_2$ and $[\text{FDSH}_2^{2-}]_0$ can be seen in Fig. 3, with the slope $5/6k_{\text{NOSCN}} \cdot \text{K}_{\text{NOSCN}} \cdot K_a = 15.4 \pm 0.2 \text{ M}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, a value compatible with that obtained previously. On averaging both results we obtain a value of $5/6k_{\text{NOSCN}} \cdot \text{K}_{\text{NOSCN}} \cdot K_a = 16.6 \pm 1.2 \text{ M}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$.

From the intercept and the slope in Fig. 2, and by using previous values for $K_{\text{NO}^-}$, $K_{\text{NO}^-} = 3 \times 10^{-7} \text{ M}^{-1}$, $K_1$, $K_2 = 3.24 \times 10^{-6} \text{ M}$, and $k_{\text{NOSCN}}$, $k_{\text{NOSCN}} = 30 \text{ M}^{-2}$, we can calculate the values of $k_{\text{NO}^-} = (1.1 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-10} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $k_{\text{NOSCN}} = (2.2 \pm 0.1) \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (see Table 5). The slope in Fig. 3 also allows us to obtain a value of $k_{\text{NOSCN}} = (1.90 \pm 0.02) \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$.

Table 1 Values of $k_1$ and $5/6k_2$ obtained from the fit of experimental data to eqn (10) ([HClO$_4$]$_0 = 0.15$ M, ionic strength (NaClO$_4$) = 1.00 M, 25.0 °C)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$10^5[\text{HNO}_2]_0$/M</th>
<th>$10^5[\text{FDSH}_2^{2-}]_0$/M</th>
<th>$10^5k_1$/s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$10^5(5/6k_2)/$M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>4.10 ± 0.04</td>
<td>6.2 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>2.71 ± 0.01</td>
<td>5.64 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>1.53 ± 0.01</td>
<td>3.42 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.529 ± 0.004</td>
<td>1.98 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.711</td>
<td>0.717</td>
<td>0.157 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A set of experiments was performed in which the acid concentration was varied between [HClO$_4$] = 8.00 × 10$^{-2}$ and 0.850 M. The results are given in Table 2. The values of $k_2 = (8.9 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $5/6k_2 = (3.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ proved to be independent of the acid concentration, supporting the hypothesis that the reaction occurs through the monoprotonated form of the formamidine disulfide. By considering $k_2 = k_{\text{NOSCN}} \cdot \text{K}_{\text{NOSCN}} \cdot K_a \cdot [\text{FDSH}_2^{2-}]_0$, we can calculate the value of $K_{\text{NOSCN}} = (2.3 \pm 0.3) \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and with $k_3 = (k_{\text{NO}^-} \cdot K_{\text{NO}^-} \cdot K_a) + 5/6k_{\text{NOSCN}} \cdot \text{K}_{\text{NOSCN}} \cdot K_a \cdot [\text{HNO}_2]_0 / [\text{FDSH}_2^{2-}]_0$ we can obtain $k_{\text{NO}^-} = (2.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (see Table 5).

2. Influence of added SCN$^-$

The addition of the thiocyanate anion to the reaction medium leads to eqn (6) being rewritten as:

$$r = k_1[\text{HNO}_2] + k_{\text{NOSCN}} \cdot K_{\text{NOSCN}} \cdot K_a \cdot [\text{FDSH}_2^{2-}]_0 \cdot [\text{HNO}_2]_0 / [\text{SCN}^-]_0$$

When [SCN$^-]$ = [HNO$_2$]$_0$ eqn (11) is simplified to:

$$r = (k_1 + k_2[\text{SCN}^-])_0[\text{HNO}_2]_0$$

(12)
Table 2  Values of $k_1$ and 5/6$k_2$ obtained from the fit of experimental data to eqn (10) ([HNO$_2$]$_0$ = 1.667 × 10$^{-3}$ M, [FDSH$^{2+}$]$_0$ = 1.667 × 10$^{-2}$ M, ionic strength (NaClO$_4$) = 1.00 M, 25.0 °C)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[HClO$_4$]$_0$/M</th>
<th>10$^3k_1$/s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>10(5/6$k_2$)/M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>7.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.26 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>8.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.95 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>8.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>3.24 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>9.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>10.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>9.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

which corresponds to a first order rate equation with $k_{obs} = k_1 + k_2[SCN^-]$. An absorbance vs. time plot for a reaction carried out with added SCN$^-$ is shown in Fig. 4.

The results obtained on varying [SCN$^-$] are given in Table 3 and, since $k_{obs} = (k_{NO} - K_{NO} - K_{a}^{1} + k_{NOSCN}K_{NOSCN} - K_{a}^{1}[SCN^-][FDSH^{2+}])$, when plotting $k_{obs}/[FDSH^{2+}]_{0}$ vs. [SCN$^-$], a linear dependence is observed where the intercept $k_{NO} - K_{NO} - K_{a}^{1} = (5.4 ± 0.3) × 10^{-2}$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ and the slope $k_{NOSCN}K_{NOSCN}K_{a}^{1} = 18.5 ± 0.2$ M$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (Fig. 5). From the intercept and slope, the values of $k_{NO} = (5.6 ± 0.3) × 10^{10}$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ and $k_{NOSCN} = (1.91 ± 0.02) × 10^{5}$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ (see Table 5) can be calculated.

3. Influence of added Br$^-$ and Cl$^-$

When a halide anion X$^-$ is present in the reaction medium a new contribution to the rate must be added to eqn (12). If a nucleophile such as Br$^-$ or Cl$^-$ is added to the reaction medium a new pathway leading to SCN$^-$ is opened up. On the other hand, when halide anions are added to the reaction they compete with the thio cyanate for the nitrous acid in order to produce the nitrosyl halide. In this struggle for the nitrous acid two conflicting effects are balanced. On the one hand the equilibrium constants ($K_{NOSCN} ≫ K_{NOX}$) and on the other the concentration of the nucleophilic species [X$^-$$] \gg$ [SCN$^-$]. From this balance we would expect to observe autocatalytic behavior at low concentrations of X$^-$ and when the difference between the equilibrium constants is greater. In the presence of halides the situation should be represented as:

$$r = k_1[HNO_2] + k_2(5/6[HNO_2]_0 - [HNO_2])/HNO_2 + k_4[HNO_2]$$

where $k_4 = k_{NOX}K_{NOX}K_{a}^{1}[FDSH^{2+}][X^-]_0$.

From the analysis of eqn (13) it can easily be seen that when $k_2(5/6[HNO_2]_0 - [HNO_2]) ≪ k_4$ then the equation can be simplified to:

$$r = (k_1 + k_4)[HNO_2]$$

Integration of the latter equation leads to a single exponential decay.

When the autocatalytic contribution of the non-halide-catalyzed pathway is not depreciable, integration of (13) gives an expression formally equivalent to eqn (9) where $k_5 = (k_1 + k_2/6[HNO_2]_0) + k_4$.

$$[HNO_2] = \frac{k_5[HNO_2]_0}{(k_5 - 5/6k_2)[HNO_2]_0}e^{k_1/5} + 5/6k_2[HNO_2]_0$$

When the influence of the bromide anion was studied with [Br$^-$] ranging from 1.2 × 10$^{-2}$ to 0.30 M the absorbance vs. time plot exhibited an autocatalytic shape at the lower concentration and first-order behavior for all other concentration values. The resulting pseudo-first-order rate constants $k_{obs}$ are listed in Table 3. From the plot of $k_{obs}/[FDSH^{2+}]_0$ vs. [Br$^-$] (Fig. 5), the intercept $k_{NO}K_{NO}K_{a}^{1} = (4.7 ± 0.6) × 10^{-2}$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ and the slope $k_{NOSCN}K_{NOSCN}K_{a}^{1} = 1.55 ± 0.03$ M$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ allow us to obtain the nitrosation rate constants by NO$^+$, $K_{NO} = (4.7 ± 0.6) × 10^{10}$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, and NOBr, $k_{NOSCN} = (9.4 ± 0.2) × 10^{6}$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ (see Table 5) from the slope (Fig. 5).

Experiments carried out at the lower chloride anion concentrations ([Cl$^-$] ≤ 0.23 M) showed a z-shaped absorbance vs. time plot, which indicates an appreciable contribution from the autocatalytic pathway. The kinetic data for this set of experiments were fitted to eqn (15) and the resulting values for $k_3$ and 5/6$k_2$ are listed in Table 4.

As predicted by the kinetic model, $k_2$ was invariant with [Cl$^-$] and the mean value for 5/6$k_2$ is (2.9 ± 0.2) × 10$^{-1}$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$. On using this value the bimolecular rate constant $k_{NOSCN}$ was calculated, $k_{NOSCN} = (2.1 ± 0.1) × 10^{5}$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, and this is in very good agreement with previously obtained values. Since $k_{2}[FDSH^{2+}]_0 = (k_{NO}K_{NO}K_{a}^{1} + k_{NOSCN}K_{NOSCN}K_{a}^{1}/5/6[HNO_2]_0) + k_{NO}K_{NO}K_{a}^{1}/[Cl^-]_0$, then from the plot $k_{2}[FDSH^{2+}]_0$ vs. [Cl$^-$] (see Fig. 6), we obtain an intercept, $k_{NO}K_{NO}K_{a}^{1}/5/6[HNO_2]_0$ = (5.4 ± 0.1) × 10$^{-2}$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, and a slope, $k_{NOSCN}K_{NOSCN}K_{a}^{1}/5/6[HNO_2]_0$ = (1.5 ± 0.2) × 10$^{5}$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$. From the intercept, and on using the previously obtained value of $k_{NO} = (2.1 ± 0.1) × 10^{5}$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, we can calculate $k_{NO}K_{NO}K_{a}^{1} = (1.35 ± 0.02) × 10^{10}$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ (see Table 5). From the slope we can obtain the bimolecular rate constant for formamidine disulfide nitrosation by NOCl, $k_{NOCl} = (4.2 ± 0.6) × 10^{7}$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ (Table 5).

For higher chloride anion concentrations ([Cl$^-$] > 0.4 M) the kinetics are first order and the data could be fitted to a first exponential decay (Table 3). The intercept of the linear plot $k_{obs}/[FDSH^{2+}]_0$ vs. [Cl$^-$] (Fig. 5) has a value of (3.9 ± 0.2) ×
Experimental conditions

\[ k_{\text{obs}} \] obtained from the fit of experimental data to a single exponential decay. ([FDSH\(_2^{2+}\)]\(_0\) = 1.667 \times 10^{-2} \text{ M}, [HNO\(_2\)]\(_0\) = 1.667 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}, [HClO\(_4\)]\(_0\) = 0.15 \text{ M}, Ionic strength (NaClO\(_4\)) = 1.00 \text{ M}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[10^2k_{\text{obs}}/\text{s}^{-1}]</th>
<th>[10^2k_{\text{obs}}/\text{s}^{-1}]</th>
<th>[10^2k_{\text{obs}}/\text{s}^{-1}]</th>
<th>[10^2k_{\text{obs}}/\text{s}^{-1}]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>1.72 \pm 0.02</td>
<td>2.01 \pm 0.01</td>
<td>1.549 \pm 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.550</td>
<td>2.65 \pm 0.02</td>
<td>3.47 \pm 0.02</td>
<td>1.816 \pm 0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>5.24 \pm 0.05</td>
<td>5.91 \pm 0.08</td>
<td>2.011 \pm 0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>6.98 \pm 0.05</td>
<td>7.25 \pm 0.07</td>
<td>2.133 \pm 0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>9.4 \pm 0.1</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>2.24 \pm 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ k_{\text{NO}} \cdot K_{\text{NOCl}} \cdot K_{\text{a}} \] obtained from the fit of experimental data to eqn (15) \([\text{HNO}_2]_0 = 1.667 \times 10^{-2} \text{ M}, [\text{FDSH}_2^{2+}]_0 = 1.667 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}, [\text{ClO}_4^-]_0 = 0.15 \text{ M}, \text{ionic strength (NaClO}_4\text{)} = 1.00 \text{ M, 25.0 °C}].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[10^2k_{\text{obs}}/\text{s}^{-1}]</th>
<th>[10^2k_{\text{obs}}/\text{s}^{-1}]</th>
<th>[10^2k_{\text{obs}}/\text{s}^{-1}]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>0.932 \pm 0.008</td>
<td>2.97 \pm 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>0.964 \pm 0.008</td>
<td>2.89 \pm 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>1.096 \pm 0.008</td>
<td>2.88 \pm 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>1.18 \pm 0.01</td>
<td>2.46 \pm 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>1.49 \pm 0.03</td>
<td>3.1 \pm 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10^{-2} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} for \( k_{\text{NO}} \cdot K_{\text{NOCl}} \cdot K_{\text{a}} \), which corresponds to \( k_{\text{NO}} \cdot K_{\text{NOCl}} \cdot K_{\text{a}} \) \( = \) \( (4.0 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \). This value is in good agreement with those obtained from the previous experiments. From the slope we obtain value of \( k_{\text{NOCl}}K_{\text{NOCl}}K_{\text{a}} \) \( = \) \( (3.8 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-1} \text{ M}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \). The value of \( k_{\text{NOCl}} \) \( = \) \( (3.8 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-7} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \).

### Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental conditions</th>
<th>[k_{\text{NO}}/\text{M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}]</th>
<th>[k_{\text{NOCl}}/\text{M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}]</th>
<th>[k_{\text{NOBr}}/\text{M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}]</th>
<th>[k_{\text{NOCl}}/\text{M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No added ( X^- )</td>
<td>((1.1\pm0.2)\times10^{10})</td>
<td>((2.2\pm0.1)\times10^{3})</td>
<td>((9.4\pm0.2)\times10^{6})</td>
<td>((4.2\pm0.6)\times10^{7})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Added SCN^-</td>
<td>((5.6\pm0.3)\times10^{10})</td>
<td>((1.90\pm0.02)\times10^{5})</td>
<td>((2.3\pm0.3)\times10^{8})</td>
<td>((3.8\pm0.1)\times10^{7})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Added Br^-</td>
<td>((4.7\pm0.6)\times10^{10})</td>
<td>((1.91\pm0.02)\times10^{5})</td>
<td>((2.1\pm0.1)\times10^{5})</td>
<td>((4.0\pm0.2)\times10^{7})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean values</td>
<td>((3.2\pm1.8)\times10^{10})</td>
<td>((2.1\pm0.2)\times10^{6})</td>
<td>((9.4\pm0.2)\times10^{5})</td>
<td>((4.0\pm0.2)\times10^{7})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Obtained under autocatalytic conditions form the influence of \([\text{FDSH}_2^{2+}]_0\). \(^b\) Obtained under autocatalytic conditions from the influence of \([\text{HClO}_4^-]\). \(^c\) Obtained under autocatalytic conditions. \(^d\) Obtained under first order conditions.

4. **Reaction mechanism**

The mechanism for the formation of N-nitroso compounds depends largely on the functionality of the nitrosating substrate. The mechanisms for the nitrosation of amides and ureas have been widely investigated\(^36-41\) and a large number of differences have been found between nitrosation of these compounds and amines. In the case of amine, the attack of the nitrosating agent on the free amine is rate determining, while for amides and ureas this first step is fast, the slow step being a proton transfer from an intermediate to the reaction medium. In the latter case the reaction seems to occur initially on the oxygen atom, and a fast internal rearrangement leads to the thermodynamically more stable N-nitrosoamide. Guanidines can be considered nitrogenated analogs of ureas.
However, their peculiar structure makes them compounds of great basicity and, in this sense, they are more similar to amines than ureas. Mechanisms for guanidine nitrosation show parallels with that found for ureas. 42–44

Experimental results obtained in the nitrosation of formamidine disulfide, mainly the existence of halide catalysis, are compatible with the amine nitrosation mechanism, where the attack of the nitrosating agent is the rate-limiting step. The values obtained for $k_{NO^-}$, despite the wide range of values, indicate that the rate of the nitrosation step is diffusion controlled. The broad range in the results arises from the fact that they are obtained from the intercept of a linear plot with a significant error associated. The rate values of catalyzed pathways follow the expected order $SCN^- \ll Br^- < Cl^-$. This kinetic evidence and the formation of molecular nitrogen strongly suggest that the FDS behaves like an amine as far as nitrosation is concerned.

The proposed mechanism is shown in Scheme 3. Attack of the effective nitrosating species on the monoprotonated formamidine disulfide is the rate-limiting step. The resulting $N$-nitrosoformamidine disulfide suffers deamination, in analogy with the process that occurs with primary amines. A second nitrosation/deamination process should take place at the amidine moiety of the amino(cyanodisulfanyl)methaniminium ion, leading to the formation of thiocyanogen (SCN)$_2$. The formation of the thiocyanate ion, sulfuric acid and hydrogen cyanide arise from thiocyanogen hydrolysis according to the formation of the thiocyanate ion, sulfuric acid and hydrogen ion, leading to the formation of thiocyanogen (SCN)$_2$.

**Scheme 3**

The nitrosation bimolecular rate constants, Table 5, show the expected behavior for the nitrosation of a poorly basic amine. As expected, it can be observed that reactivity decreases in the order $NO^+ > NOCl > NOBr > NOSCN$. This behavior is justified on the basis of the polarity of the X–NO bond. An increase in the electronegativity of X leads to an increase in the positive charge on the nitrogen atom, making the nitroso group a better electrophilic acceptor and therefore increasing the reactivity. 9
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