



## EDITORIAL COMMENT

## Regional myocardial infarction networks: How to improve quality

### Redes regionais de enfarte miocárdio. Como melhorar a qualidade

Jorge Mimoso

Serviço de Cardiologia, ULS Algarve, Faro, Portugal

Available online 6 August 2024

Primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) is the preferred reperfusion strategy in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) and reduces the risk of cardiovascular death and recurrent myocardial infarction compared with thrombolysis.<sup>1</sup>

The time to reperfusion therapy is crucial, because the benefits of this therapy diminish with delays in treatment.<sup>2</sup> However, reperfusion therapy time delays remain excessively long in the real world, reducing the number of patients who could benefit from this treatment strategy.<sup>3</sup>

Organization of care between the emergency medical system (EMS) and hospitals in regional networks reduces reperfusion time delays in patients with STEMI, as well as hospital mortality.<sup>4</sup>

Assessing the quality of care has become part of modern healthcare. Quality indicators are the most appropriate tools for assessing quality of treatment in STEMI.<sup>5</sup>

One of the main quality indicators in STEMI is time delays, and all regional networks should regularly record them.

In this issue of the *Journal*, Claudio et al.<sup>6</sup> present a study aiming to analyze the coronary pathway in the Alentejo region of Portugal in terms of time delays, taking into consideration the place of first medical contact (FMC). They retrospectively analyzed 1962 consecutive patients admitted to their center with STEMI to undergo PCI between

2013 and 2022. Most of the patients came from outside their PCI center. Primary care physicians (PCPs) and non-PCI centers accounted for most referrals, with 525 (26.9%) and 519 (26.6%) patients, respectively, followed by the EMS group (500 patients – 25.6%).

In this study, non-PCI centers had significantly longer FMC to diagnosis delay, as well as diagnosis-to-wire delay, than the other groups. Only 2.2% of patients met the 10-min FMC to diagnosis target and 44.8% met the target of 90 min from diagnosis-to-wire in transferred patients, while 40.6% of patients met the 60-min target for patients admitted to a PCI center. Median patient, electrocardiogram (ECG) and logistic delays were 92.0 min (interquartile range [IQR] 146.0), 19.0 min (IQR 47.0) and 15.5 min (IQR 46.3), respectively.

The authors concluded that there was a significant difference between state-of-the-art targets and the reality, varying according to the place of FMC, with the worst delays in non-PCI centers.

There were significant delays across all groups in ECG acquisition and STEMI diagnosis, which were more marked in non-PCI centers. This draws attention to the need to implement measures that ensure that an ECG is performed within 10 min of FMC.

Logistic delays (in transferring the patient after diagnosis) were also significantly longer in non-PCI centers than in the other groups (in non-PCI centers only 29.4% of patients met the diagnosis-to-wire target time of less than 90 min), which highlights the importance of non-PCI centers being organized in such a way that they can transfer a patient immediately after the diagnosis of STEMI.

DOI of original article: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.repc.2024.02.007>

E-mail address: [vmimoso@gmail.com](mailto:vmimoso@gmail.com)

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.repc.2024.08.003>

0870-2551/© 2024 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of Sociedade Portuguesa de Cardiologia. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).



When the authors analyzed changes in delays over the years, they found no significant improvement in STEMI care from 2013 to 2022.

This work highlights the need for treatment delays to be systematically recorded and audited, in order to detect avoidable systematic delays and to implement measures at community, hospital, and EMS levels to improve the effectiveness of the healthcare pathway and the quality of care.

### Conflicts of interest

The author has no conflicts of interest to declare.

### References

1. Ibanez B, James S, Agewall S, et al. 2017 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation. *Eur Heart J*. 2018;39:119–77.
2. Oliveira CC, Afonso M, Braga C, et al. Impact of door in-door out time on total ischemia time and clinical outcomes in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *Rev Port Cardiol*. 2023;42:101–10.
3. Pereira H, Calé R, Pereira E, et al. Five years of Stent for Life in Portugal. *Rev Port Cardiol*. 2021;40:81–90.
4. Jollis JG, Al-Khalidi HR, Roettig ML, et al. Impact of regionalization of ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction care on treatment times and outcomes for emergency medical services-transported patients presenting to hospitals with percutaneous coronary intervention: mission: lifeline accelerator-2. *Circulation*. 2018;137:376–87.
5. Timóteo AT, Mimoso J, ProACS Investigators. Assessment of quality performance measures in patients with acute coronary syndromes: data from the Portuguese Registry of Acute Coronary Syndromes (ProACS), a nationwide registry. *J Eval Clin Pract*. 2018;24:439–46.
6. Claudio F, Carias M, Almeida A, et al. Experience from a regional percutaneous coronary intervention center: aiming to assess and improve quality of care. *Rev Port Cardiol*. 2024;43:563–9.