

## Author's version (accepted manuscript):

### Oestrogen, an evolutionarily conserved regulator of T cell differentiation and immune tolerance in jawed vertebrates?

Matthieu Paiola<sup>1</sup>, Thomas Knigge<sup>1</sup>, Aurélie Dufлот<sup>1</sup>, Patricia I. S. Pinto<sup>2</sup>, Emilie Farcy<sup>3</sup>, Tiphaine Monsinjon<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Normandy University, FR CNRS 3730 SCALE, UMR-I 02 INERIS-URCA-ULH Environmental Stress and Aquatic Biomonitoring (SEBIO), University of Le Havre Normandy, F-76600 Le Havre, France

<sup>2</sup>Laboratory of Comparative Endocrinology and Integrative Biology, CCMAR – Centre of Marine Sciences, University of Algarve, 8005-139 Faro, Portugal

<sup>3</sup>Montpellier University, UMR MARBEC (UM, CNRS, Ifremer, IRD), 34095 Montpellier, France

\* author for correspondence:

Tiphaine Monsinjon

UMR-I 02 INERIS-URCA-ULH, Unité Stress Environnementaux et Biosurveillance des milieux aquatiques, UFR Sciences et Techniques, University of Le Havre Normandy, 25 rue Philippe Lebon, 76063 Le Havre, France.

E-mail address: [tiphaine.monsinjon@univ-lehavre.fr](mailto:tiphaine.monsinjon@univ-lehavre.fr)

**Keywords:** thymus; spleen; head-kidney; teleost; regulatory T cell; gamma-delta T cell

**Abbreviations:** AIRE, autoimmune regulator; APC, antigen-presenting cell; E2, 17 $\beta$ -oestradiol; EE2, 17 $\alpha$ -ethinylestradiol; ETP, early thymic progenitor; FOXN1, forkhead-box n1; FOXP3, forkhead-box p3; H<sub>2</sub>DCFDA, 2',7'-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate; GSI, gonado-somatic index; HSI, hepato-somatic index; K, Fulton's condition factor; MFI, Mean Fluorescent Intensity; MHC, major histocompatibility complex; P<sub>i</sub>, inorganic phosphorus; PCNA, proliferating cells nuclear antigen; PMA, phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate; RAG1, recombination activating gene 1; ROS, reactive oxygen species; SSI, spleno-somatic index; TCR, T cell receptor; TEC, thymic epithelial cell; Treg, T regulatory cell; TSA, tissue specific antigen

**Abstract:** In teleosts, as in mammals, the immune system is tightly regulated by sexual steroid hormones, such as oestrogens. We investigated the effects of  $17\beta$ -oestradiol on the expression of several genes-related to T cell development and resulting T cell subpopulations in sea bass, *Dicentrarchus labrax*, for a primary lymphoid organ, the thymus, and secondary lymphoid organs, the head-kidney and the spleen. In parallel, the oxidative burst capacity was assessed in leucocytes of the secondary lymphoid organs. Apoptosis- and proliferation-related genes, indicative of B and T cell clonal selection and lymphoid progenitor activity, were not affected by elevated oestrogen-levels. Sex-related oestrogen-responsiveness in T cell and antigen-presenting cell markers was observed, the expression of which was differentially induced by oestrogen-exposure in the three lymphoid organs. Remarkably, in the spleen, the oestrogen increased regulatory T cell-related gene expression was associated with a decrease of oxidative burst capacity. To the best of our knowledge, this study indicates for the first time that physiological levels of oestrogen are likely to promote immune tolerance by modulating thymic function (*i.e.*, T cell development and output) and peripheral T cells in teleosts, similar to previously reported oestrogenic effects in mammals.

## 1. Introduction

In all jawed vertebrates, T cells constitute the central component of the adaptive immunity, which develops in the thymus. The thymus represents an evolutionary innovation of the vertebrate lineage related to the appearance of somatic gene recombination and the production of a high diversity of receptors that recognize and fend off abnormal cells (Boehm and Swann, 2014; Rauta et al., 2012). Despite its early evolutionary appearance, the number of thymic glands per animal, their anatomical location, developmental origin and functional processes may differ in some detail (Bajoghli et al., 2015; Boehm and Swann, 2014; Rodewald, 2008).

The vertebrate immune system has been recognised to interact with the endocrine system (*e.g.*, Lutton and Callard, 2006; Segner et al., 2017). This crosstalk is, notably, characterized by a sexual dimorphism in immune system performance extensively described for mammals, but also occasionally reported for birds, reptiles, amphibians and teleosts (Segner et al., 2017). In mammals, oestrogens are key immunomodulatory hormones, known to modulate T cell development and function (Hince et al., 2008; Straub, 2007). Although oestrogens appear to be evolutionary ancient immunomodulators (Burgos-Aceves et al., 2016; Segner et al., 2017; Straub, 2007; Szejser et al., 2016), knowledge about their effects on T cells in fish is scarce.

T cell-function is based on the specificity of a T cell receptor (TCR), formed by a membrane heterodimer composed either of TCR $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  chains or of TCR $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  chains, defining two fundamentally different T cell lineages.  $\gamma\delta$  T cells have innate-like properties and bind antigens directly while  $\alpha\beta$  T cells, or conventional T cells, recognise antigen peptides presented by the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) of type I or II (Boehm and Swann, 2014; Buonocore et al., 2012; Chien et al., 2014; Wan et al., 2017). In the thymus,  $\alpha\beta$  T cells differentiate into single positive CD8 and CD4 T cells, also referred as cytotoxic and helper T cells, respectively, by recognition of the peptide-MHC-I or II complex (Klein et al., 2014; Nakanishi et al., 2015). MHC-I is expressed by all cell types and MHC-II by specialised antigen-presenting cells (APCs), such as dendritic cells, macrophages and B cells (Esteban et al., 2015; Lewis et al., 2014; Wilson, 2017).

APCs are important for immune tolerance because they must perform several steps of  $\alpha\beta$  T cell selection, including central (positive and negative selection) as well as peripheral selection to screen clones able to discriminate self from non-self (Audiger et al., 2017; Boehm and Swann, 2014; Li and Rudensky, 2016). APCs also promote specific activation and differentiation of helper T cells or regulatory T cells (Tregs), another type of single positive CD4 T cells of great

importance for immune tolerance. In fact, Tregs regulate innate and adaptive immune cell activity and maintain self- and non-self-tolerance (Audiger et al., 2017; Li and Rudensky, 2016; Steinman and Banchereau, 2007).

The thymus provides, maintains and restores the peripheral T cell-repertoire (Boehm and Swann, 2014; Manning and Nakanishi, 1996). The teleost thymic and peripheral T cell differentiation is depicted in figure 1, providing a conceptual framework for the choice of gene markers used in this study. The transcriptional factor Ikaros is expressed in early T cell progenitors (ETP) deriving from the head-kidney (Fig. 1.2 A; Bajoghli et al., 2009; Lam et al., 2004). ETP homing, T cell commitment and maturation is accomplished by thymic epithelial cells (TEC) under the regulation of transcription factor forkhead-box n1 (FOXP1, Bajoghli et al., 2009; Žuklys et al., 2016) and recombination-activating genes (RAG) 1 and 2 allow for the rearrangement of TCR $\delta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$  gene segments assuring commitment to  $\alpha\beta$  or  $\gamma\delta$  T cell subtypes (Fig. 1.2 B; Bajoghli et al., 2015; Muñoz-Ruiz et al., 2017; Yui and Rothenberg, 2014). T cell fate involves MHC-II+ cells (APCs), such as medullary thymic epithelial cells (mTEC), under the regulation of transcription factor AIRE (autoimmune regulator) (Fig. 1.2 C and 1.3; (Anderson and Su, 2016; Bajoghli et al., 2015; Saltis et al., 2008). Treg differentiation and function is regulated by forkhead-box p3 (FOXP3) transcription factor (Fig. 1.2 C and 1.3; Li and Rudensky, 2016; Quintana et al., 2010; Sugimoto et al., 2017). Immune cell homeostasis and tolerance may involve steps of apoptosis mediated by (1) the extrinsic pathway *via* Caspase-8 and (2) the intrinsic pathway *via* Caspase-9 (Audiger et al., 2017; Bouillet and O'Reilly, 2009; Daley et al., 2017; Luzio et al., 2013).

In teleosts, the head-kidney and spleen constitute the major secondary lymphoid organs (Parra et al., 2013; Rauta et al., 2012; Zwollo, 2016). The spleen is present in all jawed vertebrates, whereas the head-kidney is only found in teleost fish (Boehm and Swann, 2014; Parra et al., 2013). Because lymphopoiesis as well as T cell activation are highly dependent on proliferation (Boehm and Swann, 2014; Chien et al., 2014; Nakanishi et al., 2015), the proliferating cells nuclear antigen (PCNA) gene was also analysed in all three lymphoid organs.

To elucidate the effects of 17 $\beta$ -oestradiol (E2) on central and peripheral T cells, European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) were injected with E2. The resulting changes in E2-levels, were confirmed by several known plasmatic parameters, such as Ca<sup>2+</sup>, P<sub>i</sub> or Vtg. The relative expression of *ikaros*, *rag1*, *foxn1* and *aire* were analysed in the thymus (Fig. 1) because they represent thymus specific function and steps of T cell development. In addition, *caspase8*,

*caspase9*, *mhc2 $\alpha$  chain (mhc2a)*, *tcr $\alpha$* , *foxp3* and *tcr $\gamma$*  were analysed in the thymus, in the head-kidney and in the spleen. To corroborate the changes in activity and differentiation of T cells with innate immune cell competence, the capacity of oxidative burst was measured in leucocytes isolated from the spleen and the head-kidney.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Animals

A cohort of fingerlings of *D. labrax* were obtained from the hatchery “L’écloserie marine de Gravelines” (Gravelines, France) and raised in the facilities of “Aquacaux” (Octeville, France) in 1,800 L tanks with continuous flow of filtered and aerated marine seawater at environmental temperatures until about three years of age. At this time, the population comprised adolescent males and females. A few males showed signs of beginning sexual maturity, but the majority of animals were still sexually immature (see GSI in Table 1). The animals were fed daily *ad libitum* with “Turbot label rouge” fish feed (Le Gouessant, Lamballe, France). All fish were handled in accordance with the European Union regulations concerning the protection of experimental animals (Dir 2010/63/EU).

### 2.2. Treatment and sampling

Two successive exposure experiments were carried out, within one week of interval (Fig. S1). The fish were allotted randomly to either of the experiments and did not differ significantly with respect to the different physiological and developmental biometric indices (see supplementary data, Fig. S2), so that the data of both experiments were combined. For details on the experimental setup and validation of the experimental combination see supplementary materials and methods. Experimental conditions were the same as for hatchery (Table 1) and monitored at each injection time using a Multiparameter Meter (HI 9828, HANNA instruments, Woonsocket, USA). Fish received three consecutive intra-peritoneal injections of 0.5 mg E2/kg, prepared according to Pankhurst et al. (1986), or vehicle alone (*i.e.*, organic colza oil) at day 1, day 2 and day 6 (for details on E2-solution preparation see supplementary materials and methods). At day 7, all fish were anesthetized with tricaine methanesulfonate (MS 222; Sigma, St. Louis, USA) before weight and total length were recorded. A blood sample was taken from the caudal vein with a heparinised syringe, centrifuged at 1,000 g and 4 °C for 10 min, followed by a subsequent centrifugation of the supernatant at 3,000 g and 4 °C for another 10 min. The plasma was snap frozen and stored at -80 °C until analyses of vitellogenin- (Vtg), E2-, cortisol-

, Calcium- ( $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ), and inorganic phosphorus- ( $\text{P}_i$ ) levels were carried out; sample sizes for each treatment group and measurement are specified in Table 2. The fish were sacrificed by an overdose of MS 222 and subsequent decapitation, thymus, head-kidney, spleen, liver and gonads were dissected. Individual tissue samples of thymus, head-kidney and spleen was immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at  $-80\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  for later RNA extraction. A part of head-kidney and spleen was gently passed through a  $100\text{ }\mu\text{m}$  cell strainer adding Leibovitz medium (L15, Sigma) and kept two hours at  $4\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  for leucocyte isolation and flow cytometry. Gender was determined by macroscopic observation and confirmed *post hoc* by gonad histology. The total length and weight of the fish body, liver, gonads and spleen were used to establish the Fulton's condition factor ( $K$ ), the hepato-somatic (HSI), the gonado-somatic (GSI) and the spleno-somatic (SSI) indices according to Hadidi et al. (2008), Robinson et al. (2008) and Zha et al. (2007), respectively:  $K\text{ (g/cm}^3\text{)} = \text{body weight (g)} / \text{total length (cm)}^3 \times 100$  ; HSI (%) = liver weight (g) / body weight (g) x 100 ; GSI (%) = gonad weight (g) / body weight (g) x 100. Sample sizes per measurement and treatment group are specified in Table 2.

### 2.3. Hormone and mineral plasma levels

E2-, cortisol-,  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ - and  $\text{P}_i$ -levels were quantified in duplicate for each fish as previously described (Pinto et al., 2016). The E2- and cortisol-levels were analysed by radioimmunoassay using specific antiserum against E2 (Guerreiro et al., 2002) and cortisol (Rotllant et al., 2005). Free hormones of the heat-denaturated plasma samples were separated using dextran-coated charcoal. Total plasma  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ - and  $\text{P}_i$ -levels were quantified using *o*-cresolphthalein and phosphomolybdate colorimetric assays, respectively (Spinreact 1001060 and 1001150, Barcelona, Spain).

### 2.4. Vitellogenin plasma levels

Plasmatic Vtg-levels were determined as described by Pinto et al. (2016). Briefly,  $10\text{ }\mu\text{L}$  of plasma were diluted 1/10 in Tris buffer, pH 7.8, and mixed with an equal volume of SDS PAGE sample buffer, boiled for 5 min, centrifuged at  $1,700\text{ g}$  for 1 min and run on an 8% SDS-PAGE with a prestained, dual-color SDS-PAGE molecular weight marker (ref. 161-0374, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, USA). Gels were stained with Coomassie blue, scanned and digital images captured using Alpha Imager System (Alpha Innotech, San Leandro, USA). Vtg at 180 kDa was quantified using Image J (v.1.48). Total plasma protein was determined after dilution (1:75) using the Bradford method (Bradford, 1976) with bovine serum albumin (Sigma) as a

standard. The relative plasma level of Vtg was expressed as band density (pixels/mm<sup>2</sup>/μg total protein) of the respective sample.

### 2.5. Gene expression

Relative gene expression of *ikaros*, *rag1*, *foxn1* and *aire* was assessed in the thymus only. Gene expression of *tera*, *foxp3*, *tcrg*, *pcna*, *mhc2a*, *caspase8*, *caspase9*, the elongation factor  $\alpha$  1 (*ef1a*), the ribosomal protein L13 a gene (*l13a*) and the 40S ribosomal protein S30 (*fau*; for sequence determination procedure see supplementary materials and methods) was assessed in the thymus, the head-kidney and the spleen. For sample sizes per treatment group and analysis the reader is referred to Table 2. Details on the respective primers are given in Table S3. Total RNA was extracted using Tri Reagent<sup>®</sup> (Sigma) according to the supplier's instructions. Tissue samples were homogenised twice in Precellys<sup>®</sup> tubes (CK14; Bertin instruments, Montigny-le-Bretonneux, France) for 10 s at 5,000 rpm and subsequently centrifuged at 12,000 g for 15 min at 4 °C to eliminate debris. After RNA extraction, possible DNA contamination was removed by digestion with the TURBO DNA-free Kit (Invitrogen-Ambion, Carlsbad, USA) according to the supplier's instructions. RNA quality was assessed on 1 % agarose gels and the yield was quantified with a Nanodrop One (ThermoFisher, Waltham, USA) at 260 nm and 280 nm. Absorbance ratios 260/280 between 1.93 and 2.01 indicated pure RNA samples. Samples were stored at -80 °C until further processing.

Reverse transcription was performed using 1 μg of RNA, 0.5 μg of Oligo(dT), dNTP and M-MLV RT (Promega, Fitchburg, USA) with an incubation of 5 min at 70 °C and 60 min at 42 °C at a final volume of 25 μL and cDNA was stored at -20 °C. Real-time qPCR was performed using three technical replicates per individual sample (*i.e.*, biological replicate corresponding to the n given in table 2) by separately adding cDNA diluted 1:20 in DNase/RNase free water. Different reaction volumes were employed according to the respective gene and thermocycler combination used for qPCR (Table S3). Two genes (*tcrg* and *ikaros*) were analysed in 10 μL using the Rotor-Gene Q instrument (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) with QuantiTect SYBR<sup>®</sup> Green PCR Kit 5 (Qiagen). The twelve remaining genes were analysed in either 5 μL, or 1.5 μL with the LightCycler<sup>®</sup> 480 Instrument II (Roche Molecular Diagnostics, Pleasanton, USA) with LightCycler<sup>®</sup> 480 SYBR Green I Master (Roche). Different reaction volumes were used in order to adjust the cycle threshold between different genes. A plate linker sample was run on all plates for each given gene. For the final volume of 1.5 μL reaction mix, 0.5 μL of cDNA and 1 μL of PCR mix (enzyme, dNTP and primers) were loaded on a 384-microwell plate using

a Labcyte Acoustic Automated Liquid Handler (Echo<sup>®</sup> 525, Labcyte<sup>™</sup>, San Jose, USA; GenomiX platform, Montpellier University). The use of the Labcyte Echo enables miniaturization of qRT-PCR assay (Agrawal et al., 2016; Green et al., 2016). Oligonucleotides were used at a final concentration of either 0.5  $\mu$ M or 0.75  $\mu$ M as optimized for each gene (Table S3). Negative controls were performed with DNA free water. For LightCycler<sup>®</sup> 480 SYBR Green I Master qPCR conditions were as follows: initial incubation at 95 °C for 10 min followed by 45 cycles at 95 °C for 10 s, 60 °C for 10 s (for primer specific hybridization temperature see Table S3) and 72 °C for 10 s. The QuantiTect qPCR conditions were: 95°C for 15 min, 94 °C for 15 s, 60 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 30 s, with the three last steps being repeated for 40 times. PCR-products were evaluated on 2% agarose gels and assessed with the qPCR melting curves. Cycle thresholds were determined either with LightCycler 1.5 480 or with Rotor-Gene Q software version 2.0.2 (Build 4). For each organ and primer pair, the PCR amplification efficiency was calculated by serial dilutions of cDNA pooled with samples of all conditions (experiment, treated, control and gender; see Table S3).

For the quantification of relative gene expression, the reference genes: *ef1a*, *113a* and *fau* (Table S3) emerged to be stably expressed between control and treatments in males and females for each organ and experiment (Supplementary data, figure S3). The primers for *fau* and *ef1a* were previously utilized as reference genes in sea bass by Mitter et al. (2009). Furthermore, *113a* and *ef1a* were validated as suitable reference genes with xenoestrogen exposure in teleost fish (Lorin-Nebel et al., 2014; McCurley and Callard, 2008). The relative expression ratio of a target gene was calculated based on the formula of Pfaffl (2001) using the efficiency of each primer pair calculated in each organ (Table S3) and the geometric mean of the three reference genes as recommended by Vandesompele et al. (2002). Henceforth, the normalized expression of each analysed gene is referred to as ‘gene expression’. Furthermore, as a control, normalization with each reference genes (*ef1a*, *113a*, *fau*) was checked independently.

## 2.6. Leucocyte isolation and, assessment of the viability and population distribution

The cell solutions from head-kidney and spleen (see 2.2, “sampling”) were loaded on Histopaque<sup>®</sup>-1077 (Sigma) and leucocytes were separated by centrifugation for 30 min at 400 g and 4 °C. The Leucocytes were collected at the interface and washed twice at 1,200 g and 4 °C for 5 min. The cell concentration was determined with a hemocytometer and adjusted to  $1 \times 10^6$  cells per mL. Cell mortality was assessed as described by Seemann et al. (2016). Briefly, the cells were incubated with 50  $\mu$ g/mL of iodide propidium at room temperature for 10 min in

obscurity. The different cells types were defined by their granularity/internal complexity (SSC, side scatter) and their electronic volume. In agreement with previous work (Granja et al., 2015; Seemann et al., 2016), two populations were identified: the lymphoid and myeloid cells, the former being of larger size and granularity. The mortality was assessed as the percentage of lymphoid and myeloid cells with high red fluorescence. The population distribution corresponded to the percentage of events in either of the gates for each cell type. Each flow cytometric measurement was conducted with 25,000 events in the gate “cells” comprising both cell types. The analyses of flow cytometry were conducted using the Cell Lab Quanta SC MPL flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter) and the software FlowJo® (version 8.7, Ashland, Oregon, USA).

### *2.7. Respiratory burst*

Respiratory burst was assessed on leucocytes isolated from the head-kidney and spleen as described by Bado-Nilles et al. (2014) (The analysed sample sizes in each treatment group are specified in Table 2). Briefly, for each sample two aliquots of cells were incubated with 5  $\mu$ M of 2',7'-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (H<sub>2</sub>DCFDA, ThermoFisher) for 30 min. Subsequently, one aliquot was stimulated for 30 min using 2  $\mu$ g/mL of phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA, Sigma). The membrane permeant H<sub>2</sub>DCFDA is converted by intracellular oxidases and esterases to a non-fluorescent product, which reacts with reactive oxygen species (ROS) and converts it to the highly fluorescent 2',7'-dichlorofluorescein (DCF). The green fluorescence of the unstimulated and stimulated cells was measured for each gate (lymphoid and myeloid cells) with 25,000 events in the gate “cells”. Three different measurements were carried out: the stimulation index of respiratory burst as the ratio of the mean fluorescent intensity (MFI) of stimulated cells to unstimulated cells and the MFI (expressing the level of ROS) of the stimulated and unstimulated cells.

### *2.8. Statistical analysis*

All statistical analyses were conducted using SigmaPlot (version 12.0, Systat Software Inc., San Jose, USA), unless otherwise stated. Gene expression data are reported as normalised expression and visualized as box-and-whisker plots, indicating the median, the 25 and 75 percentiles as well as the minimum and maximum values. The results of flow cytometry are depicted as histograms with means and standard errors (S.E.). Prior to analyses, outliers were eliminated using the Grubb's outlier test (for combined data from all groups, ©2017 GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, USA). The datasets were checked for normality and equal variances

using the Shapiro-Wilk test and the Levene Median test, respectively. Subsequently, pairwise analysis was conducted to compare E2- and CTR-groups for both genders separately. Were significant differences between treatments absent, E2- and CTR-groups were combined to check for possible gender differences. If normal distribution and homoscedasticity could be confirmed, an independent Student *t*-test was used for pairwise parametric hypothesis testing; otherwise non-parametric Mann-Whitney *U*-test was conducted. Pairwise linear correlations were assessed for each grouped data (CTR-F, E2-F, CTR-M and E2-M with experiments combined) for plasmatic E2, cortisol and gene-expression levels using the non-parametric Spearman rank order correlation. The *p*-values were adjusted for multiple hypotheses testing by the False Discovery Rate procedure (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995), which was set to 15 %. The results were considered significant at an  $\alpha$ -level of 0.4% ( $p < 0.004$ ) as determined by the False Discovery Rate.

### 3. Results

#### 3.2. Exposure validation

The efficacy of the E2-treatment was validated by the changes in the plasmatic levels of E2,  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  and  $\text{P}_i$  as well as by the changes in the HSI for CTR-M, CTR-F, E2-M and E2-F from both experiments combined (the exact sample sizes of which are displayed in Table 2). When comparing males and females from the CTR- and E2-groups (Fig. 2, Table 1), a significant difference in the plasmatic E2-level (*Kruskal-Wallis*,  $H_{3,60}=49.54$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) was revealed. Also, the HSI values (*Kruskal-Wallis*,  $H_{3,61}=36.75$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ),  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  (*Kruskal-Wallis*,  $H_{3,61}=37.58$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) and  $\text{P}_i$  (*Kruskal-Wallis*,  $H_{3,68}=15.38$ ,  $p = 0.001$ ) were significantly different. The Tukey-Kramer *post-hoc* analyses demonstrated that the E2-exposure significantly increased plasmatic concentrations of E2 from  $0.040 \text{ ng/mL} \pm 0.023 \text{ s.d.}$  to  $2.640 \text{ ng/mL} \pm 1.943 \text{ s.d.}$  in females and from  $0.044 \text{ ng/mL} \pm 0.029 \text{ s.d.}$  to  $3.560 \text{ ng/mL} \pm 0.432 \text{ s.d.}$  in males (both  $p < 0.001$ ; all *p*-values are listed in Table S10). The Tukey-Kramer *post-hoc* analyses indicated a significant increase for the HSI following E2-treatment in females and males (both  $p < 0.001$ ; all *p*-values are listed in Table S11). The  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ - and the  $\text{P}_i$ -levels of the E2-exposed animals were significantly increased in females only (both  $p < 0.001$ , Tables S12 and S13).

Comparing the CTR and the E2-treatments for males and females combined (Fig. 2, Table 1), the E2-exposure significantly increased the plasmatic concentrations of E2 (*U*-test,  $p < 0.001$ ).

A significant increase of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  ( $U$ -test,  $p < 0.001$ ) and a significant increase of  $\text{P}_i$  from ( $U$ -test,  $p < 0.001$ ) as well as a significant increase of  $\text{Vtg}$  ( $U$ -test,  $p = 0.018$ ) could also be observed.

### 3.2. Gene expression

Relative gene expression was compared for CTR-M, CTR-F, E2-M and E2-F from both experiments combined with sample sizes ranging from  $n=5$  to 15 (exact sample sizes per group and organ are provided in Table 2).

#### 3.2.1. Thymic homing, T cell maturation and TEC function

E2-treatment did not modulate *ikaros* expression in females ( $t$ -test,  $p = 0.848$ ; Fig. 3), which, however, tended to increase in males ( $t$ -test,  $p = 0.393$ ). The E2-treatment decreased the *rag1*-expression level in E2-F, but this was not statistically significant ( $U$ -test,  $p = 0.238$ ; Fig. 3). No significant change of *rag1* expression level could be detected in E2-M ( $t$ -test,  $p = 0.770$ ).

The E2-treatment did neither significantly decrease *foxn1* expression (Fig. 3) in females ( $U$ -test,  $p = 0.696$ ), nor in males ( $t$ -test,  $p = 0.963$ ). However, the E2-treatment affected the significantly positive correlation of *foxn1* with *ikaros* and *foxp3* (Table 3 and Table S14), which was observed in CTR but not in E2-treated females.

#### 3.2.2. Thymic and peripheral T cell selection

The E2-treatment significantly increased *aire*-expression levels (Fig. 3) in females ( $U$ -test,  $p = 0.007$ ), but not in males ( $t$ -test,  $p = 0.822$ ).

E2-treatment significantly increased *mhc2a* expression in the thymus of males, but not in females ( $t$ -test,  $p = 0.038$  and  $p = 0.386$ , respectively; Fig. 4). This was, however, not the case in the head-kidney of E2-exposed males (Fig. 4), where a trend to increased *mhc2a*-expression level in females was observed ( $t$ -test,  $p = 0.253$  and  $t$ -test,  $p = 0.096$ , respectively). In the spleen, E2-treatment did neither affect *mhc2a* expression in males, nor in females ( $t$ -test,  $p = 0.476$  and  $t$ -test,  $p = 0.890$ ; Fig. 4).

E2-treatment did not significantly modulate the expression-levels of *caspase8* and *caspase9* (Fig. 4), neither in the thymus of females ( $U$ -test,  $p = 0.800$  and  $p = 0.781$ , respectively), nor that of males ( $t$ -test,  $p = 0.923$  and  $p = 0.141$ , respectively). The same was observed in the head-kidney of females ( $t$ -test,  $p = 0.947$  and  $p = 0.856$ , respectively) and males ( $t$ -test,  $p = 0.136$  and  $U$ -test,  $p = 0.704$ ) as well as in the spleen of females ( $t$ -test,  $p = 0.999$  and  $p = 0.341$ , respectively) and males ( $U$ -test,  $p = 0.661$  and  $p = 0.950$ , respectively).

The correlations between *caspase9* and *caspase8* with *ikaros*, *foxn1*, as well as *pcna* in the thymus of female are presented in Table 3 and Table S14. In CTR-F, the expression-level of *caspase9* was significantly correlated with *ikaros* and *foxn1*. Also in CTR-F, *caspase8* expression was significantly correlated with *pcna*. Similar correlations were not observed in E2-F.

Correlations between the expression-level of *caspase9* and *caspase8* with *foxp3*, *tcra* and *tcrg* as well as *mhc2a* in the head-kidney and spleen are presented in Tables 3 and 4 as well as Table S15-18. In the head-kidney, *caspase9* expression showed a significantly positive correlation with *foxp3* in both CTR-F, but not in E2-F (Table 3 and Table S15), whereas this positive correlation was not altered in E2-M, as compared to CTR-M (Table 4 and Table S16).

### 3.2.3. Cell proliferation

Following E2-treatment, *pcna* expression (Fig. 4) appeared to decrease in females, but this decrease was not statistically significant for any of the three lymphoid organs (*U*-tests for thymus, head-kidney, spleen:  $p=0.200$ ,  $p=0.366$ ,  $p=0.079$ ; respectively), whereas no effect occurred in E2-M (*t*-tests for thymus and head-kidney,  $p=0.981$ ,  $p=0.351$ , respectively; *U*-test for spleen,  $p=0.885$ ).

The *pcna* expression in the head-kidney was positively and significantly correlated (Table 3 and Table S15) with *mhc2a* in CTR-F. This positive correlation was lost in E2-F.

### 3.2.4. T Cell subtypes

In the thymus, E2-treatment tended to decrease the expression-level of *tcra* (Fig. 5) in E2-F (*t*-test,  $p=0.154$ ), whereas it did not affect E2-M (*t*-test,  $p=0.286$ ).

In the head-kidney, E2-treatment increased the expression-level of *tcra* (Fig. 5) in females, but did not modulate the *tcra* expression in males (*t*-test,  $p=0.023$  and  $p=0.430$ , respectively). In the head-kidney of CTR-F and E2-F, *tcra* and *pcna* expression showed no significantly positive correlation (Table 3 and Table S15). In the head-kidney, E2-M showed a significantly positive correlation between *tcra* and *mhc2a* (Table 4 and Table S16); a similar correlation was not observed in CTR-M.

In the spleen, E2-treatment did not increase the expression-level of *tcra* in females (*t*-test,  $p=0.605$ ), but it tended to increase in males, albeit not significantly (*U*-test,  $p=0.083$ ).

In the E2-treated fish (Fig. 5), the expression level of *foxp3* increased significantly in the thymus of E2-M (*t*-test,  $p=0.036$ ), but did not in E2-F ( $p=0.258$ , *t*-test).

In the head-kidney, *foxp3*-expression level was neither modulated in males, nor in females (*t*-test,  $p=0.642$  and  $p=0.268$ , respectively; Fig. 5). In the spleen, the expression-level of *foxp3* was increased in females, but not in males (*t*-test,  $p=0.021$  and  $p=0.860$ , respectively). In the male spleen, the E2-treatment resulted in a significantly positive correlation of *foxp3* with *mhc2a* and *pcna* (Table 4 and Table S18). Similar correlations were not observed in the spleen and head-kidney of CTR-F, CTR-M and E2-F (Table 3-4 and Table S15-18).

The E2-treatment did neither modify the expression-level of *tcrg* in the thymus of E2-F (*U*-test,  $p=0.452$ ; Fig. 5), nor in E2-M (*t*-test,  $p=0.998$ ). However, female thymuses generally, had a lower *tcrg* expression than those of males (*U*-test CTR-F+E2-F vs. CTR-M+E2-M combined,  $p=0.020$ ). In head-kidney and spleen, the E2-treatment increased the expression-level of *tcrg* in E2-M (*t*-test,  $p=0.037$  and  $p=0.030$ , respectively), but had no effect in E2-F (*U*-test,  $p=0.869$ , *t*-test,  $p=0.170$ ). In spleen, the E2-M showed a positive and strong correlation (Table 4B and Table S18) between *tcrg*, *mhc2a* and *pcna*. Similar correlations were not observed in the spleen of CTR-M, CTR-F and E2-F, nor in the head-kidney of E2-M, CTR-M and E2-F (Table 3-4A and Table S15-18).

In CTR-M, but not E2-M, the expression of *tcrg* in the head-kidney and spleen were positively and significantly correlated ( $r=0.850$   $p<0.001$ ;  $r=0.393$ ,  $p=0.341$ ).

### 3.3. Flow cytometry

Respiratory burst was assessed by flow cytometry for CTR-M, CTR-F, E2-M and E2-F from both experiments combined with sample sizes ranging from  $n=4$  to 10 (exact sample sizes per group and organ are displayed in Table 2)

#### 3.3.1. Leucocytes mortality and distribution

E2-treatment did neither significantly alter the mortality of the lymphocytes and myeloid cells isolated from the head-kidney (*t*-test,  $p=0.126$  and  $p=0.961$ , respectively), nor from the spleen (*t*-test,  $p=0.601$  and  $p=0.485$ , respectively) (Fig. 6b). In addition, no effect of E2-treatment was observed for the population distribution of isolated leucocytes from the head-kidney and spleen.

#### 3.3.2. Oxidative Burst

E2-treatment did not affect the basal ROS-level (unstimulated, *i.e.*, without PMA) of isolated leucocytes from the head-kidney or the spleen (Fig. 6c). Similarly, no statistically significant effect of E2-treatment on the ROS-levels of stimulated (*i.e.*, with PMA) lymphocytes and

myeloid cells isolated from the head-kidney could be observed ( $t$ -test,  $p=0.914$ ;  $U$ -test,  $p=0.361$  respectively). On the contrary, E2-treatment significantly decreased the ROS-levels of stimulated cells (with PMA) from the spleen: the MFI in lymphocytes of E2-treated animals was less than half as compared to controls ( $44.03 \pm 11.41$  s.e. vs.  $94.38 \pm 14.98$  s.e.;  $U$ -test,  $p=0.016$ ) and about the same was observed in myeloid cells ( $223.6 \pm 54.77$  s.e. vs.  $446.9 \pm 17.85$  s.e.;  $U$ -test,  $p=0.016$ ). The oxidative burst index for lymphocytes of the head-kidney remained unaffected by E2-treatment (CTR  $3.89 \pm 0.46$  s.e. vs. E2  $3.90 \pm 0.40$ ;  $U$ -test,  $p=0.836$ ), as was the case for myeloid cells (CTR  $6.315 \pm 0.736$  s.e. vs. E2  $7.824 \pm 1.039$ ;  $U$ -test,  $p=0.333$ ). In the spleen, E2-treatment significantly decreased the oxidative burst index in lymphocytes with  $3.205 \pm 0.424$  s.e. in CTR vs.  $1.854 \pm 0.420$  in E2-treated fish ( $U$ -test,  $p=0.022$ ). A similar effect seemed to occur in the myeloid cells from the spleen with  $4.770 \pm 0.467$  in CTR vs.  $3.488 \pm 0.756$  in E2-treated animals, but without this difference being statistically significant ( $t$ -test,  $p=0.168$ ).

#### 4. Discussion

The three intra-peritoneal injections with E2 over one week lead to clearly elevated E2-plasma levels, which were similar to concentrations observed in female sea bass during the spawning season (Mañanós et al., 1997; Navas et al., 1998). Hence, the E2-treatment was both efficient and situated within the physiological range of E2-titers. The effectiveness of the E2-treatment, was further underscored by a significant increase of  $Ca^{2+}$ -,  $P_i$ - and Vtg-levels in the serum, all of which are known to be induced by E2 (Nelson and Habibi, 2013; Pinto et al., 2016). As the liver produces Vtg, the increased HSI is coherent with an E2-stimulated Vtg-synthesis. Similarly, an increase of the HSI has been observed after  $17\alpha$ -ethynylestradiol (EE2) treatment in other teleost species (Rodenas et al., 2016; Zha et al., 2007).

According to the oestrogenic modulation of the adaptive immune system and the thymus plasticity described for teleosts and other vertebrates (Lutton and Callard, 2006; Seemann et al., 2015; Segner et al., 2017; Szwejsjer et al., 2016), this study suggests that E2 modulates thymic and peripheral T cell maturation in a complex manner, as outlined in the following.

##### 4.1. Thymic T cell development and release

###### 4.1.1. Thymic homing

The ETPs are represented by *ikaros* expression, which, in zebrafish, is up-regulated before ETPs begin to express *rag1*. This probably occurs after progenitor cells are committed to the T

cell lineage, just like in mammals (Fig. 1.2 A; Lam et al., 2004; Yui and Rothenberg, 2014). E2-treatment of sea bass did not significantly affect the expression-level of *ikaros* in our experiments. This suggests that the colonization of the thymus by ETPs was not modulated by physiological E2-levels, as opposed to the rapid decline of ETPs observed in mice after E2-injection or during pregnancy (Zoller et al., 2007; Zoller and Kersh, 2006).

#### 4.1.2. T cell maturation and TEC function

The T cell maturation, selection and differentiation involves the transcription factors FOXN1 and AIRE expressed in TEC (Fig. 1.2; Abramson and Anderson, 2017; Anderson and Su, 2016; Bajoghli et al., 2015, 2009; Saltis et al., 2008; Žuklys et al., 2016). In the thymus of sea bass, E2-treatment did not significantly affect the expression-level of *foxn1*. However, the E2-treatment (1) increased the *aire*-expression level, and (2) eliminated the significant correlation of *foxn1*-expression levels with *ikaros* and *foxp3* in females, which suggests that E2 modulated TEC function and thereby thymic T cell maturation (Bajoghli et al., 2015; Klein et al., 2014). These observations are in good agreement with the mammalian oestrogenic modulation of TEC function demonstrated both *in vivo* and *in vitro* (Dragin et al., 2016; Jin et al., 2003; Martín et al., 1995a).

#### 4.1.3. T cell lineage subtype commitment

Early RAG1/2<sup>+</sup> T cell lineage committed progenitors possibly differentiate into  $\gamma\delta$  or  $\alpha\beta$  T cells (Fig. 1.2 B). In sea bass, E2 tended to decrease the expression of *rag1* in the thymus of females. This suggests that E2 does not halt early T cell maturation in teleosts, as proposed for mammals (Bernardi et al., 2015; Rijhsinghani et al., 1996). The  $\gamma\delta$  selection is characterized by the expression of TCR $\gamma\delta$  and, to some extent, by a lower proliferation in comparison to the  $\beta$ -selected cells (Muñoz-Ruiz et al., 2017; Turchinovich and Pennington, 2011; Yui and Rothenberg, 2014). In the present study, expression of *tcrg* in the thymus was not affected by E2-treatment, neither in males, nor females. Female sea bass, however, expressed significantly lower levels of *tcrg*. In addition, E2 tended to decrease the relative expression of *pcna* in female sea bass. Overall, these results suggest that sex steroids, such as E2, have the potential to modulate T cell subtype commitment. Because E2-treatment did not change the expression-level of *tcra* in the thymus of males and females, there was no indication of E2 affecting the  $\alpha\beta$  T cell lineage. This suggests that the main thymic T cell lineage, *i.e.*, the  $\alpha\beta$  T cell, would remain weakly affected after one week of elevated physiological E2-levels.

In the mammalian thymus, E2-exposure increased the proportion of T cells with mature phenotypes CD3, CD4 or CD8 SP. Therefore, E2 was presumed to stimulate an alternative intrathymic pathway of CD4 and CD8 double negative T cell maturation including  $\alpha\beta$  and  $\gamma\delta$  T cells (Abo, 2001; Chapman et al., 2015; Rijhsinghani et al., 1996; Screpanti et al., 1991). Except for the  $\gamma\delta$  T cells, this intrathymic pathway could not be directly evidenced for the sea bass thymus, because, although sex-dependent differences existed, no treatment effect became visible. Any confirmation of whether such an alternative intrathymic pathways exists, or not, is, however, hampered by the lack of specific markers, which can distinguish between the unconventional T cells (Cheroutre et al., 2011).

#### 4.1.4. Central tolerance

The increase of *aire* and *mhc2a* expression in male and female sea bass following E2-treatment could indicate an increased central tolerance (Fig. 1.2 C). Interestingly, *aire* expression in mammals is also regulated by oestrogen, where it was, however, reported to decrease the number of AIRE<sup>+</sup> TECs and AIRE expression in TECs, resulting in a decrease of AIRE-dependent tissue specific antigens-production and an increase in the prevalence of autoimmune diseases (Anderson and Su, 2016; Dragin et al., 2016; Klein et al., 2014). Given the potential functional conservation of AIRE and MHC-II in T cell-selection of jawed vertebrates (Bajoghli et al., 2015; Lewis et al., 2014; Saltis et al., 2008), our results suggest that E2 enhanced central tolerance in female and in male sea bass.

The putative increase of central tolerance may result in increased immature T cell-apoptosis in the thymus, as it has been reported for mice or lizard (Do et al., 2002; Hareramadas and Rai, 2006; Wang et al., 2008). In sea bass, however, the E2-treatment did not affect the caspases expression. On the one hand, this suggests that in teleosts, E2 does not strongly affect T cell-apoptosis. On the other hand, the lack of an obvious E2-induced apoptosis is in agreement with the observations of Chapman et al. (2015), who proposed that E2 does not enhance thymic T cell-apoptosis at physiological concentrations.

The increase of central tolerance may also result in an increase of Treg differentiation. For instance, the proportion of thymus-derived Treg increased with elevated E2-levels observed in early pregnancy of mice (Teles et al., 2013). Interestingly, in male sea bass, the expression of *foxp3* increased concomitantly to the increase in *mhc2a* expression, suggesting that E2 induced Treg differentiation. This observation provides additional support for the E2-induced central tolerance in sea bass.

#### 4.1.5. T cell migration

Considering the peripheral  $\alpha\beta$  T cells (Fig. 1.3), we observed that E2-treatment significantly increased the expression-level of *tcra* in the head-kidney of females. It also tended to increase *tcra* in the spleen of males. These changes could derive from T cell-activation, characterized by a stimulation of proliferation. As no significant increase of *pcna* expression was observed and no correlation between the expression-levels of *pcna* and *tcra* could be determined, one may hypothesise that the increase of *tcra* expression is due to an increased  $\alpha\beta$  T cell input in the head-kidney. These  $\alpha\beta$  T cells are probably coming from the thymus, which is the main source of T cells (Boehm and Swann, 2014; Manning and Nakanishi, 1996). This interpretation is in line with the rapid increase of the number of T cells in the head-kidney of gilthead sea bream following EE2-exposure observed by (Rodenas et al., 2017). Likewise, these authors could not detect any effect of E2 on T cell proliferation.

As for the peripheral  $\gamma\delta$  T cells, E2-treatment resulted in an (1) increase of *tcrg* expression in the head-kidney and the spleen of male sea bass, and a (2) strongly positive correlation of *tcrg* with *pcna* and *mhc2a* in spleen, but not in the head-kidney. Because mammalian  $\gamma\delta$  T cells can show clonal expansion (Chien et al., 2014), and because  $\gamma\delta$  T cells express MHC-II (Chien et al., 2014; Wan et al., 2017), our observations suggest that E2 induced proliferation and entry of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells in the spleen and the head-kidney, respectively. Furthermore, it may be assumed that  $\gamma\delta$  T cells of the head-kidney and spleen represent two distinct populations.

These interpretations, together with the lower expression of *tcrg* in the thymus of females as well as the potentially E2-induced increase of  $\alpha\beta$  T cells in the head-kidney of females, suggest that E2 induced thymic T cell output in sea bass, similar to observations made in mammals (Chapman et al., 2015; Martín et al., 1995a). This T cell-release is believed to be promoted by a E2-mediated vasodilatation, which is induced by thymic mast cell-activation (Chapman et al., 2015). A similar mechanism may be assumed in sea bass, as Paiola et al. (2017) detected nuclear and membrane oestrogen receptor expression in the thymic vessels and mast cells of *D. labrax*. In mammals, these E2-mediated changes of T cell output have been associated with a stimulation of an alternative intrathymic pathway of T cell maturation (Abo, 2001; Chapman et al., 2015). Similar effects are, therefore, likely to occur in the thymus of sea bass.

#### 4.1.6. Thymic APC migration and differentiation

Because E2 increased *mhc2a* expression in the thymus of males, one may assume that E2 stimulated antigen presentation in the thymus of male sea bass, as it was described for mammals (Wira et al., 2003). Correspondingly, in the mammalian thymus, E2 increases thymic migration and/or differentiation of several potential APCs, such as B cells, plasma cells, macrophages and

granulocytes (Martín et al., 1994, 1995b; Ross and Korenchevsky, 1941). The increase of *foxp3* in males, which occurred concomitantly to the increase of *mhc2a* expression, corroborates this interpretation. As a matter of fact, an increased number of APCs (both mTEC and DC) has been shown to stimulate thymus-derived Treg generation (Abramson and Anderson, 2017; Lin et al., 2016). This would confirm that E2 modulates T cell fate in the thymus of sea bass.

#### 4.2. Peripheral tolerance and T cell differentiation

In the periphery, naïve and mature T cells undergo supplementary selection and differentiation (Fig. 1.3). At first sight, E2 did not alter B and T cell clonal selection, because caspase gene expression was not significantly modulated by E2-treatment. This interpretation is further underscored by an unaltered leucocyte viability in the spleen and head-kidney following E2-treatment. At closer examination, however, E2-treatment strongly increased the *foxp3*-expression level in the spleen of females, suggesting an E2-related induction of peripheral T cell-differentiation or migration of thymus-derived Tregs. Interestingly, a highly significant and positive correlation of *foxp3* with *mhc2a* as well as *pcna* was observed in the spleen of males following E2-treatment. By presenting peptide-MHC-II complex, APCs induce Treg differentiation followed by high level of proliferation (Li and Rudensky, 2016). Accordingly, one may assume that in the spleen of sea bass, E2 induced Treg differentiation in females and, to a lesser extent, in males. Interestingly, pregnancy as well as *in vitro* and *in vivo* E2-treatments both increased the proportion of Treg and *foxp3* expression/FOXP3-levels in the spleen of female mice (Polanczyk et al., 2004, 2005; Tai et al., 2008). This is likely to enhance the suppressive activity of Tregs (Polanczyk et al., 2005). In teleosts, FOXP3 is believed to have a similar function in Tregs (Quintana et al., 2010; Sugimoto et al., 2017; Wen et al., 2011). Therefore, the E2-mediated increase of *foxp3*-level in the spleen suggests that E2 promotes tolerance and anti-inflammatory activity through an expansion of FOXP3+  $\alpha\beta$  Treg cells in the spleen of sea bass, similar to the situation described for mammals. This interpretation is further corroborated by the fact that the inhibition of oxidative burst capacity occurred solely in the spleen, but not in the head-kidney.

Furthermore, in the male spleen, the apparent E2-mediated anti-inflammatory response could also result from the increase of  $\gamma\delta$  T cells with a regulatory phenotype. Indeed, high E2-levels promote immune tolerance during pregnancy in mammals, by increasing peripheral  $\gamma\delta$  Tregs (Chapman et al., 2015). One may speculate that this also the case in fish, although the corresponding  $\gamma\delta$  T cell subtypes have not yet been identified in teleosts.

## 5. Conclusion

The results of this study covering T cell-related gene expression in the sea bass provide evidence for E2-induced immune tolerance by qualitatively and quantitatively modulating the peripheral T cell subsets in *D. labrax*. Two mechanisms are likely to be involved: (1) a modulation of the thymic function, such as the T cell lineage commitment and the release, *i.e.*, a stimulation of an intrathymic alternative pathway of T cell maturation, and (2) a modulation of the differentiation and/or the activity of mature/peripheral T cells. Similar mechanisms of E2-action on the thymus and peripheral lymphoid organs have been identified in mammals (Abo, 2001; Chapman et al., 2015; Polanczyk et al., 2005). The gender-specific behaviour of the different T cell subsets in the various lymphoid organs underscores the implication of oestrogens in these processes.

During mammalian pregnancy, the expansion of Treg, double negative  $\alpha\beta$  and  $\gamma\delta$  T cells in peripheral organs, including the spleen, is believed to be important to avoid a detrimental immune response against the semiallogenic foetus (Chapman et al., 2015; Clark, 2016). Our study suggests that the E2-induced increase in T cell-mediated peripheral tolerance is evolutionarily conserved in jawed vertebrates. In sea bass, higher plasmatic levels of E2 are associated to seasonal events including reproduction, migration as well a switch to nocturnal feeding and locomotor behaviour (del Pozo et al., 2014; Mañanós et al., 1997). Consequently, immunomodulation could be related to changes in diet, energy budget and reserves and/or environmental pathogens.

**Acknowledgements:** This research was supported by the ANR financed project ETaT (ANR-15-CE32-0014). M.P. was supported by the Normandy region through the FR CNRS 3730 SCALE. P.I.S.P. was supported through the Foundation for Science and Technology of Portugal (FCT), through the projects PTDC/AAG-GLO/4003/2012 and CCMAR/Multi/04326/2013 and the fellowship SFRH/BPD/84033/2012. The authors thank Matthieu Bonnet and the staff at Aquacaux for fish hatchery, Elsa Couto and Soraia Santos (CCMAR) for carrying out sea bass plasma analyses and Sandrine Crochmore for her technical support in gene expression measurements. We would like to thank Salima Aroua, Sabrina Jolly, Stéphanie Olivier, Agnès Poret and Béatrice Rocher for their assistance throughout the exposures and samplings.

**Compliance with ethical standards**

All applicable national guidelines for the care and use of animals were followed.

**Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## References

- Abo, T., 2001. Extrathymic pathways of T-cell differentiation and immunomodulation. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* 1, 1261–1273. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1567-5769\(01\)00057-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1567-5769(01)00057-1)
- Abramson, J., Anderson, G., 2017. Thymic Epithelial Cells. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 35, 85–118. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-immunol-051116-052320>
- Agrawal, S., Cifelli, S., Johnstone, R., Pechter, D., Barbey, D.A., Lin, K., Allison, T., Agrawal, S., Rivera-Gines, A., Milligan, J.A., Schneeweis, J., Houle, K., Struck, A.J., Visconti, R., Sills, M., Wildey, M.J., 2016. Utilizing Low-Volume Aqueous Acoustic Transfer with the Echo 525 to Enable Miniaturization of qRT-PCR Assay. *J. Lab. Autom.* 21, 57–63. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2211068215609315>
- Anderson, M.S., Su, M.A., 2016. AIRE expands: new roles in immune tolerance and beyond. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 16, 247–258. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nri.2016.9>
- Audiger, C., Rahman, M.J., Yun, T.J., Tarbell, K.V., Lesage, S., 2017. The Importance of Dendritic Cells in Maintaining Immune Tolerance. *J. Immunol.* 198, 2223–2231. <https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1601629>
- Bado-Nilles, A., Techer, R., Porcher, J.M., Geffard, A., Gagnaire, B., Betoulle, S., Sanchez, W., 2014. Detection of immunotoxic effects of estrogenic and androgenic endocrine disrupting compounds using splenic immune cells of the female three-spined stickleback, *Gasterosteus aculeatus* (L.). *Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 38, 672–683. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.etap.2014.08.002>
- Bajoghli, B., Aghaallaei, N., Hess, I., Rode, I., Netuschil, N., Tay, B.-H., Venkatesh, B., Yu, J.-K., Kaltenbach, S.L., Holland, N.D., Diekhoff, D., Happe, C., Schorpp, M., Boehm, T., 2009. Evolution of Genetic Networks Underlying the Emergence of Thymopoiesis in Vertebrates. *Cell* 138, 186–197. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.04.017>
- Bajoghli, B., Kuri, P., Inoue, D., Aghaallaei, N., Hanelt, M., Thumberger, T., Rauzi, M., Wittbrodt, J., Leptin, M., 2015. Noninvasive In Toto Imaging of the Thymus Reveals Heterogeneous Migratory Behavior of Developing T Cells. *J. Immunol.* 195, 2177–2186. <https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1500361>
- Benjamini, Y., Hochberg, Y., 1995. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. *J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B Methodol.* 57, 289–300.
- Bernardi, A.I., Andersson, A., Stubelius, A., Grahnmemo, L., Carlsten, H., Islander, U., 2015. Selective estrogen receptor modulators in T cell development and T cell dependent inflammation. *Immunobiology* 220, 1122–1128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.imbio.2015.05.009>
- Boehm, T., Swann, J.B., 2014. Origin and Evolution of Adaptive Immunity. *Annu. Rev. Anim. Biosci.* 2, 259–283. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-animal-022513-114201>
- Bouillet, P., O'Reilly, L.A., 2009. CD95, BIM and T cell homeostasis. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 9, 514–519. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nri2570>
- Bradford, M.M., 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal. Biochem.* 72, 248–254. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697\(76\)90527-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697(76)90527-3)
- Buonocore, F., Castro, R., Randelli, E., Lefranc, M.-P., Six, A., Kuhl, H., Reinhardt, R., Facchiano, A., Boudinot, P., Scapigliati, G., 2012. Diversity, Molecular Characterization and Expression of T Cell Receptor  $\gamma$  in a Teleost Fish, the Sea Bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*, L.). *PLoS ONE* 7, e47957. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0047957>

- Burgos-Aceves, M.A., Cohen, A., Smith, Y., Faggio, C., 2016. Estrogen regulation of gene expression in the teleost fish immune system. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 58, 42–49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2016.09.006>
- Chapman, J.C., Chapman, F.M., Michael, S.D., 2015. The production of alpha/beta and gamma/delta double negative (DN) T-cells and their role in the maintenance of pregnancy. *Reprod. Biol. Endocrinol.* 13. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12958-015-0073-5>
- Cheroutre, H., Lambolez, F., Mucida, D., 2011. The light and dark sides of intestinal intraepithelial lymphocytes. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 11, 445–456. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nri3007>
- Chien, Y., Meyer, C., Bonneville, M., 2014.  $\gamma\delta$ T Cells: First Line of Defense and Beyond. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 32, 121–155. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-immunol-032713-120216>
- Clark, D.A., 2016. The importance of being a regulatory T cell in pregnancy. *J. Reprod. Immunol.* 116, 60–69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jri.2016.04.288>
- Daley, S.R., Teh, C., Hu, D.Y., Strasser, A., Gray, D.H.D., 2017. Cell death and thymic tolerance. *Immunol. Rev.* 277, 9–20. <https://doi.org/10.1111/imr.12532>
- del Pozo, A., Falcon, J., Sanchez-Vazquez, F.J., 2014. The Biological Clock and Dualism, in: *Biology of European Sea Bass*. CRC Press, pp. 34–56.
- Do, Y., Ryu, S., Nagarkatti, M., Nagarkatti, P.S., 2002. Role of Death Receptor Pathway in Estradiol-Induced T-Cell Apoptosis in Vivo. *Toxicol. Sci.* 70, 63–72. <https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/70.1.63>
- Dragin, N., Bismuth, J., Cizeron-Clairac, G., Biferi, M.G., Berthault, C., Serraf, A., Nottin, R., Klatzmann, D., Cumano, A., Barkats, M., Le Panse, R., Berrih-Aknin, S., 2016. Estrogen-mediated downregulation of AIRE influences sexual dimorphism in autoimmune diseases. *J. Clin. Invest.* 126, 1525–1537. <https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI81894>
- Esteban, M.Á., Cuesta, A., Chaves-Pozo, E., Meseguer, J., 2015. Phagocytosis in Teleosts. Implications of the New Cells Involved. *Biology* 4, 907–922. <https://doi.org/10.3390/biology4040907>
- Granja, A.G., Leal, E., Pignatelli, J., Castro, R., Abos, B., Kato, G., Fischer, U., Tafalla, C., 2015. Identification of Teleost Skin CD8 + Dendritic-like Cells, Representing a Potential Common Ancestor for Mammalian Cross-Presenting Dendritic Cells. *J. Immunol.* 195, 1825–1837. <https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1500322>
- Green, T.J., Vergnes, A., Montagnani, C., de Lorgeril, J., 2016. Distinct immune responses of juvenile and adult oysters (*Crassostrea gigas*) to viral and bacterial infections. *Vet. Res.* 47, 72. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13567-016-0356-7>
- Guerreiro, P.M., Fuentes, J., Canario, A.V., Power, D.M., 2002. Calcium balance in sea bream (*Sparus aurata*): the effect of oestradiol-17beta. *J. Endocrinol.* 173, 377–385.
- Hadidi, S., Glenney, G.W., Welch, T.J., Silverstein, J.T., Wiens, G.D., 2008. Spleen Size Predicts Resistance of Rainbow Trout to *Flavobacterium psychrophilum* Challenge. *J. Immunol.* 180, 4156–4165. <https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.180.6.4156>
- Hareramadas, B., Rai, U., 2006. Cellular mechanism of estrogen-induced thymic involution in wall lizard: caspase-dependent action. *J. Exp. Zool. A Comp. Exp. Biol.* 305A, 396–409. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.a.260>
- Hince, M., Sakkal, S., Vlahos, K., Dudakov, J., Boyd, R., Chidgey, A., 2008. The role of sex steroids and gonadectomy in the control of thymic involution. *Cell. Immunol.* 252, 122–138. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellimm.2007.10.007>
- Jin, C., Fu, W.-X., Xie, L.-P., Qian, X.-P., Chen, W.-F., 2003. SDF-1 $\alpha$  production is negatively regulated by mouse estrogen enhanced transcript in a mouse thymus epithelial cell line. *Cell. Immunol.* 223, 26–34. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0008-8749\(03\)00152-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0008-8749(03)00152-7)
- Klein, L., Kyewski, B., Allen, P.M., Hogquist, K.A., 2014. Positive and negative selection of the T cell repertoire: what thymocytes see (and don't see). *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 14, 377–391.

<https://doi.org/10.1038/nri3667>

Lam, S.H., Chua, H.L., Gong, Z., Lam, T.J., Sin, Y.M., 2004. Development and maturation of the immune system in zebrafish, *Danio rerio*: a gene expression profiling, in situ hybridization and immunological study. *Dev. Comp. Immunol.* 28, 9–28.

[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-305X\(03\)00103-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-305X(03)00103-4)

Lewis, K.L., Del Cid, N., Traver, D., 2014. Perspectives on antigen presenting cells in zebrafish. *Dev. Comp. Immunol., Zebrafish Immunity and Infection Models* 46, 63–73.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2014.03.010>

Li, M.O., Rudensky, A.Y., 2016. T cell receptor signalling in the control of regulatory T cell differentiation and function. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 16, 220–233.

<https://doi.org/10.1038/nri.2016.26>

Lin, J., Yang, L., Silva, H.M., Trzeciak, A., Choi, Y., Schwab, S.R., Dustin, M.L., Lafaille, J.J., 2016. Increased generation of Foxp3<sup>+</sup> regulatory T cells by manipulating antigen presentation in the thymus. *Nat. Commun.* 7. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms10562>

Lorin-Nebel, C., Budzinski, H., Le Ménach, K., Devier, M.H., Charmantier, G., Gros, R., Grousset, E., Blondeau-Bidet, E., Farcy, E., 2014. 4-Nonylphenol disrupts osmoregulation in the European sea-bass *Dicentrarchus labrax*. *J. Xenobiotics* 4.

<https://doi.org/10.4081/xeno.2014.4905>

Lutton, B., Callard, I., 2006. Evolution of reproductive–immune interactions. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* 46, 1060–1071. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/icl050>

Luzio, A., Monteiro, S.M., Fontainhas-Fernandes, A.A., Pinto-Carnide, O., Matos, M., Coimbra, A.M., 2013. Copper induced upregulation of apoptosis related genes in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) gill. *Aquat. Toxicol.* 128–129, 183–189.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2012.12.018>

Mañanós, E.L., Zanuy, S., Carrillo, M., 1997. Photoperiodic manipulations of the reproductive cycle of sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) and their effects on gonadal development, and plasma 17 $\beta$ -estradiol and vitellogenin levels. *Fish Physiol. Biochem.* 16, 211–222.

Manning, M.J., Nakanishi, T., 1996. The specific immune system: cellular defenses, in: *The Fish Immune System: Organism, Pathogen, and Environment*, Academic Press, Inc. pp. 159–205.

Martín, A., Alonso, L.M., Del Moral, M.G., Zapata, A.G., 1994. Ultrastructural changes in the adult rat thymus after estradiol benzoate treatment. *Tissue Cell* 26, 169–179.

[https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-8166\(94\)90092-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-8166(94)90092-2)

Martín, A., Casares, F., Alonso, L., Nieuwenhuis, P., Vicente, A., Zapata, A.G., 1995a. Changes in the Blood-Thymus Barrier of Adult Rats after Estradiol-Treatment. *Immunobiology* 192, 231–248. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0171-2985\(11\)80100-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0171-2985(11)80100-2)

Martín, A., Vicente, A., Torroba, M., Moreno, C., Jiménez, E., Zapata, A., 1995b. Increased numbers of CD5<sup>+</sup> B cells in the thymus of estradiol benzoate-treated rats. *Thymus* 24, 111–127.

McCurley, A.T., Callard, G.V., 2008. Characterization of housekeeping genes in zebrafish: male–female differences and effects of tissue type, developmental stage and chemical treatment. *BMC Mol. Biol.* 9, 102. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2199-9-102>

Mitter, K., Kotoulas, G., Magoulas, A., Mulero, V., Sepulcre, P., Figueras, A., Novoa, B., Sarropoulou, E., 2009. Evaluation of candidate reference genes for QPCR during ontogenesis and of immune-relevant tissues of European seabass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*). *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. B Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 153, 340–347.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpb.2009.04.009>

Muñoz-Ruiz, M., Sumaria, N., Pennington, D.J., Silva-Santos, B., 2017. Thymic Determinants of  $\gamma\delta$  T Cell Differentiation. *Trends Immunol.*

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2017.01.007>  
 Nakanishi, T., Shibasaki, Y., Matsuura, Y., 2015. T Cells in Fish. *Biology* 4, 640–663.  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/biology4040640>  
 Navas, J.M., Mañanós, E., Thrush, M., Ramos, J., Zanuy, S., Carrillo, M., Zohar, Y., Bromage, N., 1998. Effect of dietary lipid composition on vitellogenin, 17 $\beta$ -estradiol and gonadotropin plasma levels and spawning performance in captive sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax* L.). *Aquaculture* 165, 65–79. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486\(98\)00246-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(98)00246-4)  
 Nelson, E.R., Habibi, H.R., 2013. Estrogen receptor function and regulation in fish and other vertebrates. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.*, 7th International Symposium on Fish Endocrinology 192, 15–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2013.03.032>  
 Paiola, M., Knigge, T., Picchiatti, S., Duflot, A., Guerra, L., Pinto, P.I.S., Scapigliati, G., Monsinjon, T., 2017. Oestrogen receptor distribution related to functional thymus anatomy of the European sea bass, *Dicentrarchus labrax*. *Dev. Comp. Immunol.*  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2017.07.023>  
 Pankhurst, N.W., Stacey, N.E., Peter, R.E., 1986. An evaluation of techniques for the administration of 17 $\beta$ -estradiol to teleosts. *Aquaculture* 52, 145–155.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0044-8486\(86\)90034-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0044-8486(86)90034-7)  
 Parra, D., Takizawa, F., Sunyer, J.O., 2013. Evolution of B Cell Immunity. *Annu. Rev. Anim. Biosci.* 1, 65–97. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-animal-031412-103651>  
 Pfaffl, M.W., 2001. A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 29, e45–e45. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/29.9.e45>  
 Pinto, P.I.S., Estêvão, M.D., Andrade, A., Santos, S., Power, D.M., 2016. Tissue responsiveness to estradiol and genistein in the sea bass liver and scale. *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 158, 127–137. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsbmb.2015.12.023>  
 Polanczyk, M.J., Carson, B.D., Subramanian, S., Afentoulis, M., Vandembark, A.A., Ziegler, S.F., Offner, H., 2004. Cutting Edge: Estrogen Drives Expansion of the CD4+CD25+ Regulatory T Cell Compartment. *J. Immunol.* 173, 2227–2230.  
<https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.173.4.2227>  
 Polanczyk, M.J., Hopke, C., Huan, J., Vandembark, A.A., Offner, H., 2005. Enhanced FoxP3 expression and Treg cell function in pregnant and estrogen-treated mice. *J. Neuroimmunol.* 170, 85–92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneuroim.2005.08.023>  
 Quintana, F.J., Iglesias, A.H., Farez, M.F., Caccamo, M., Burns, E.J., Kassam, N., Oukka, M., Weiner, H.L., 2010. Adaptive Autoimmunity and Foxp3-Based Immunoregulation in Zebrafish. *PLoS ONE* 5, e9478. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0009478>  
 Rauta, P.R., Nayak, B., Das, S., 2012. Immune system and immune responses in fish and their role in comparative immunity study: A model for higher organisms. *Immunol. Lett.* 148, 23–33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.imlet.2012.08.003>  
 Rijhsinghani, A.G., Thompson, K., Bhatia, S.K., Waldschmidt, T.J., 1996. Estrogen blocks early T cell development in the thymus. *Am. J. Reprod. Immunol. N. Y. N* 1989 36, 269–277. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0897.1996.tb00176.x>  
 Robinson, M.L., Gomez-Raya, L., Rauw, W.M., Peacock, M.M., 2008. Fulton's body condition factor K correlates with survival time in a thermal challenge experiment in juvenile Lahontan cutthroat trout (*Oncorhynchus clarki henshawi*). *J. Therm. Biol.* 33, 363–368.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2008.05.004>  
 Rodenas, M.C., Cabas, I., García-Alcázar, A., Meseguer, J., Mulero, V., García-Ayala, A., 2016. Selective estrogen receptor modulators differentially alter the immune response of gilthead seabream juveniles. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 52, 189–197.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2016.03.041>  
 Rodenas, M.C., Cabas, I., Gómez-González, N.E., Arizcun, M., Meseguer, J., Mulero, V., García-Ayala, A., 2017. Estrogens Promote the Production of Natural Neutralizing Antibodies

in Fish through G Protein-Coupled Estrogen Receptor 1. *Front. Immunol.* 8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2017.00736>

Rodewald, H.-R., 2008. Thymus Organogenesis. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 26, 355–388. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.immunol.26.021607.090408>

Ross, M.A., Korenchevsky, V., 1941. The thymus of the rat and sex hormones. *J. Pathol. Bacteriol.* 52, 349–360.

Rotllant, J., Guerreiro, P.M., Anjos, L., Redruello, B., Canario, A.V.M., Power, D.M., 2005. Stimulation of Cortisol Release by the N Terminus of Teleost Parathyroid Hormone-Related Protein in Interrenal Cells *in Vitro*. *Endocrinology* 146, 71–76. <https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2004-0644>

Saltis, M., Criscitiello, M.F., Ohta, Y., Keefe, M., Trede, N.S., Goitsuka, R., Flajnik, M.F., 2008. Evolutionarily conserved and divergent regions of the Autoimmune Regulator (Aire) gene: a comparative analysis. *Immunogenetics* 60, 105–114. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00251-007-0268-9>

Screpanti, I., Meco, D., Morrone, S., Gulino, A., Mathieson, B.J., Frati, L., 1991. In Vivo modulation of the distribution of thymocyte subsets: Effects of estrogen on the expression of different T cell receptor V $\beta$  gene families in CD4 $^{-}$ , CD8 $^{-}$  thymocytes. *Cell. Immunol.* 134, 414–426. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0008-8749\(91\)90314-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0008-8749(91)90314-2)

Seemann, F., Knigge, T., Duflot, A., Marie, S., Olivier, S., Minier, C., Monsinjon, T., 2016. Sensitive periods for 17 $\beta$ -estradiol exposure during immune system development in sea bass head kidney: Sensitivity of sea bass head kidney development to E2. *J. Appl. Toxicol.* 36, 815–826. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jat.3215>

Seemann, F., Knigge, T., Olivier, S., Monsinjon, T., 2015. Exogenous 17- $\beta$ -oestradiol (E2) modifies thymus growth and regionalization in European sea bass *Dicentrarchus labrax*. *J. Fish Biol.* 86, 1186–1198. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.12626>

Segner, H., Verburg-van Kemenade, B.M.L., Chadzinska, M., 2017. The immunomodulatory role of the hypothalamus-pituitary-gonad axis: Proximate mechanism for reproduction-immune trade offs? *Dev. Comp. Immunol.* 66, 43–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2016.07.004>

Steinman, R.M., Banchereau, J., 2007. Taking dendritic cells into medicine. *Nature* 449, 419–426. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06175>

Straub, R.H., 2007. The Complex Role of Estrogens in Inflammation. *Endocr. Rev.* 28, 521–574. <https://doi.org/10.1210/er.2007-0001>

Sugimoto, K., Hui, S.P., Sheng, D.Z., Nakayama, M., Kikuchi, K., 2017. Zebrafish FOXP3 is required for the maintenance of immune tolerance. *Dev. Comp. Immunol.* 73, 156–162. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2017.03.023>

Szwejszer, E., Verburg-van Kemenade, B.M.L., Maciuszek, M., Chadzinska, M., 2016. Estrogen-dependent seasonal adaptations in the immune response of fish. *Horm. Behav.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2016.10.007>

Tai, P., Wang, J., Jin, H., Song, X., Yan, J., Kang, Y., Zhao, L., An, X., Du, X., Chen, X., Wang, S., Xia, G., Wang, B., 2008. Induction of regulatory T cells by physiological level estrogen. *J. Cell. Physiol.* 214, 456–464. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.21221>

Teles, A., Thuere, C., Wafula, P.O., El-Mousleh, T., Zenclussen, M.L., Zenclussen, A.C., 2013. Origin of Foxp3 $^{+}$  cells during pregnancy. *Am. J. Clin. Exp. Immunol.* 2, 222–233.

Turchinovich, G., Pennington, D.J., 2011. T cell receptor signalling in  $\gamma\delta$  cell development: strength isn't everything. *Trends Immunol.* 32, 567–573. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2011.09.005>

Vandesompele, J., De Preter, K., Pattyn, F., Poppe, B., Van Roy, N., De Paepe, A., Speleman, F., 2002. Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging of multiple internal control genes. *Genome Biol.* 3, research0034–1.

<https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2002-3-7-research0034>

- Wan, F., Hu, C., Ma, J., Gao, K., Xiang, L., Shao, J., 2017. Characterization of  $\gamma\delta$  T Cells from Zebrafish Provides Insights into Their Important Role in Adaptive Humoral Immunity. *Front. Immunol.* 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2016.00675>
- Wang, C., Dehghani, B., Magrisso, I.J., Rick, E.A., Bonhomme, E., Cody, D.B., Elenich, L.A., Subramanian, S., Murphy, S.J., Kelly, M.J., Rosenbaum, J.S., Vandembark, A.A., Offner, H., 2008. GPR30 Contributes to Estrogen-Induced Thymic Atrophy. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 22, 636–648. <https://doi.org/10.1210/me.2007-0359>
- Wen, Y., Fang, W., Xiang, L.-X., Pan, R.-L., Shao, J.-Z., 2011. Identification of Treg-like cells in Tetraodon: insight into the origin of regulatory T subsets during early vertebrate evolution. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 68, 2615–2626. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-010-0574-5>
- Wilson, A.B., 2017. MHC and adaptive immunity in teleost fishes. *Immunogenetics* 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00251-017-1009-3>
- Wira, C.R., Fahey, J.V., Abrahams, V.M., Rossoll, R.M., 2003. Influence of stage of the reproductive cycle and estradiol on thymus cell antigen presentation. *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 84, 79–87. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-0760\(03\)00002-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-0760(03)00002-5)
- Yui, M.A., Rothenberg, E.V., 2014. Developmental gene networks: a triathlon on the course to T cell identity. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 14, 529–545. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nri3702>
- Zha, J., Wang, Z., Wang, N., Ingersoll, C., 2007. Histological alternation and vitellogenin induction in adult rare minnow (*Gobiocypris rarus*) after exposure to ethynylestradiol and nonylphenol. *Chemosphere* 66, 488–495. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2006.05.071>
- Zoller, A.L., Kersh, G.J., 2006. Estrogen Induces Thymic Atrophy by Eliminating Early Thymic Progenitors and Inhibiting Proliferation of -Selected Thymocytes. *J. Immunol.* 176, 7371–7378. <https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.176.12.7371>
- Zoller, A.L., Schnell, F.J., Kersh, G.J., 2007. Murine pregnancy leads to reduced proliferation of maternal thymocytes and decreased thymic emigration. *Immunology* 121, 207–215. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2567.2006.02559.x>
- Žuklys, S., Handel, A., Zhanybekova, S., Govani, F., Keller, M., Maio, S., Mayer, C.E., Teh, H.Y., Hafen, K., Gallone, G., Barthlott, T., Ponting, C.P., Holländer, G.A., 2016. Foxn1 regulates key target genes essential for T cell development in postnatal thymic epithelial cells. *Nat. Immunol.* 17, 1206–1215. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.3537>
- Zwollo, P., 2016. The humoral immune system of anadromous fish. *Dev. Comp. Immunol.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2016.12.008>

## Figure and Tables

Table 1: Experimental and physicochemical conditions with somatic indices for each experimental group (for detail and experimental setup see text and supplementary materials and methods). Values are means and standard deviation (s.d.). GSI, gonado-somatic index; HSI, hepato-somatic index; *K*, Fulton's condition factor; SSI, spleno-somatic index.

Tank volume	1,800 L			
Water flow	continuous			
Exposure route	intraperitoneal injection			
E2 doses	0.5 mg/kg injected 3 times			
Exposure duration	1 week			
Physicochemical conditions	November 2014 (Experiment 1)			
	CTR	s.d.	E2	s.d.
Temperature (°C)	13.70	± 0.26	13.67	± 0.18
pH	8.13	± 0.52	8.16	± 0.11
Conductivity (mS/cm)	46.54	± 5.96	47.36	± 4.03
Salinity (g/kg)	30.65	± 3.19	32.09	± 0.78
Fish density per tank g/L	7.35		7.52	
Somatic indices				
<i>K</i>	1.32	± 0.11	1.36	± 0.11
GSI	0.50	± 0.31	0.601	± 0.62
SSI	0.10	± 0.04	0.09	± 0.03
HSI	1.21	± 0.27	1.29**	± 0.35
Physicochemical conditions	December 2014 (Experiment 2)			
	CTR	s.d.	E2	s.d.
Temperature (°C)	11.58	± 0.58	11.92	± 0.46
pH	8.68	± 0.58	8.84	± 0.67
Conductivity (mS/cm)	37.55	± 0.53	38.07	± 0.48
Salinity (g/kg)	32.98	± 0.07	33.16	± 0.19
Fish density per tank g/L	9.12		8.80	
Somatic indices				
<i>K</i>	1.41	± 0.21	1.36	± 0.11
GSI	0.74	± 0.65	1.02	± 1.14
SSI	0.11	± 0.03	0.09	± 0.03
HSI	1.52	± 0.34	1.68 †	± 0.32

\*\* and †, significantly different between CTR- and E2-groups of the respective experiment at  $p \leq 0.001$  (*t*-test or *U*-test, respectively)

Table 2: Sample sizes analysed in each treatment group. GSI, gonado-somatic index; HSI, hepato-somatic index;  $K$ , Fulton's condition factor; SSI, spleno-somatic index.

Biometric index	CTR-F	E2-F	CTR-M	E2-M
$K$	59	55	18	22
GSI	59	54	18	21
SSI	30	27	10	13
HSI	59	55	18	22
Gene expression				
Thymus	15	13	5	7
Head-kidney	14	11	9	11
Spleen	12	11	10	8
Plasmatic parameters				
E2	26	25	9	10
VTG	8	12	7	6
Ca	26	24	9	10
P <sub>i</sub>	26	23	9	10
Cortisol	26	25	9	11
Flow cytometry				
Head-kidney	10	9	5	6
Spleen	7	4	4	5

Table 3: Matrices of Spearman Rank Order correlations between the plasmatic E2-, cortisol- and gene-expression levels in control (CTR-F) and E2-injected females (E2-F) in the thymus (T), the head-kidney (HK) and the spleen (S). Significantly positive correlations ( $p < 0.004$ ) are marked as green, chequered squares. Yellow, dotted squares signify insufficient replicate number ( $n \leq 5$ ) and, therefore, absence of correlation. Redundant data are depicted as shaded squares. Casp, Caspase; other abbreviations as in text.

CTR-F	Thymus										Head-kidney						Spleen										
	cortisol	ikaros	rag1	foxn1	aire	tcr $\alpha$ -T	foxp3-T	tcr $\gamma$ -T	mhc2-T	pcna-T	casp8-T	casp9-T	tcr $\alpha$ -HK	foxp3-HK	tcr $\gamma$ -HK	mhc2-HK	pcna-HK	casp8-HK	casp9-HK	tcr $\alpha$ -S	foxp3-S	tcr $\gamma$ -S	mhc2-S	pcna-S	casp8-S	casp9-S	
E2																											
cortisol																											
ikaros																											
rag1																											
foxn1																											
aire																											
tcr $\alpha$ -T																											
foxp3-T																											
tcr $\gamma$ -T																											
mhc2-T																											
pcna-T																											
casp8-T																											
casp9-T																											
tcr $\alpha$ -HK																											
foxp3-HK																											
tcr $\gamma$ -HK																											
mhc2-HK																											
pcna-HK																											
casp8-HK																											
casp9-HK																											
tcr $\alpha$ -S																											
foxp3-S																											
tcr $\gamma$ -S																											
mhc2-S																											
pcna-S																											
casp8-S																											
casp9-S																											
E2-F																											
E2																											
cortisol																											
ikaros																											
rag1																											
foxn1																											
aire																											
tcr $\alpha$ -T																											
foxp3-T																											
tcr $\gamma$ -T																											
mhc2-T																											
pcna-T																											
casp8-T																											
casp9-T																											
tcr $\alpha$ -HK																											
foxp3-HK																											
tcr $\gamma$ -HK																											
mhc2-HK																											
pcna-HK																											
casp8-HK																											
casp9-HK																											
tcr $\alpha$ -S																											
foxp3-S																											
tcr $\gamma$ -S																											
mhc2-S																											
pcna-S																											
casp8-S																											
casp9-S																											

Table 4: Matrices of Spearman Rank Order correlations between the plasmatic E2-, cortisol- and gene-expression levels in control (CTR-M) and E2-injected males (E2-M) in the thymus (T), the head-kidney (HK) and the spleen (S). Significantly positive correlations ( $p < 0.004$ ) are marked as green, chequered squares. Yellow, dotted squares signify insufficient replicate number ( $n \leq 5$ ) and, therefore, absence of correlation. Redundant data are depicted as shaded squares. Casp, Caspase. Caspase; other abbreviations as in text.

CTR-M	Thymus										Head-kidney						Spleen										
	cortisol	<i>ikaros</i>	<i>rag1</i>	<i>foxn1</i>	<i>aire</i>	<i>tcr-a-T</i>	<i>foxp3-T</i>	<i>tcr-g-T</i>	<i>mhc2-T</i>	<i>pcna-T</i>	<i>casp8-T</i>	<i>casp9-T</i>	<i>tcr-a-HK</i>	<i>foxp3-HK</i>	<i>tcr-g-HK</i>	<i>mhc2-HK</i>	<i>pcna-HK</i>	<i>casp8-HK</i>	<i>casp9-HK</i>	<i>tcr-a-S</i>	<i>foxp3-S</i>	<i>tcr-g-S</i>	<i>mhc2-S</i>	<i>pcna-S</i>	<i>casp8-S</i>	<i>casp9-S</i>	
E2																											
cortisol																											
<i>ikaros</i>																											
<i>rag1</i>																											
<i>foxn1</i>																											
<i>aire</i>																											
<i>tcr-a-T</i>																											
<i>foxp3-T</i>																											
<i>tcr-g-T</i>																											
<i>mhc2-T</i>																											
<i>pcna-T</i>																											
<i>casp8-T</i>																											
<i>casp9-T</i>																											
<i>tcr-a-HK</i>																											
<i>foxp3-HK</i>																											
<i>tcr-g-HK</i>																											
<i>mhc2-HK</i>																											
<i>pcna-HK</i>																											
<i>casp8-HK</i>																											
<i>casp9-HK</i>																											
<i>tcr-a-S</i>																											
<i>foxp3-S</i>																											
<i>tcr-g-S</i>																											
<i>mhc2-S</i>																											
<i>pcna-S</i>																											
<i>casp8-S</i>																											
<i>casp9-S</i>																											
E2-M																											
cortisol																											
<i>ikaros</i>																											
<i>rag1</i>																											
<i>foxn1</i>																											
<i>aire</i>																											
<i>tcr-a-T</i>																											
<i>foxp3-T</i>																											
<i>tcr-g-T</i>																											
<i>mhc2-T</i>																											
<i>pcna-T</i>																											
<i>casp8-T</i>																											
<i>casp9-T</i>																											
<i>tcr-a-HK</i>																											
<i>foxp3-HK</i>																											
<i>tcr-g-HK</i>																											
<i>mhc2-HK</i>																											
<i>pcna-HK</i>																											
<i>casp8-HK</i>																											
<i>casp9-HK</i>																											
<i>tcr-a-S</i>																											
<i>foxp3-S</i>																											
<i>tcr-g-S</i>																											
<i>mhc2-S</i>																											
<i>pcna-S</i>																											
<i>casp8-S</i>																											
<i>casp9-S</i>																											

## Figure legends

Fig. 1: Successive steps of teleost thymic and peripheral T cell differentiation in steady-state associated with their corresponding genetic markers used in the present study. **1**, The head-kidney (bone marrow equivalent) contains hematopoietic stem cells providing both myeloid and lymphoid progenitors (Boehm and Swann, 2014; Parra et al., 2013). The common lymphoid progenitor (CLP) differentiates into the B cell lineage or migrates to the thymus. **2 A**, Following homing, early thymic progenitor (ETP) commit to the T cell lineage after interacting with thymic epithelial cells (TEC) (Bajoghli et al., 2009; Yui and Rothenberg, 2014). **2 B**, TCR gene recombination determines the immature T cell fate: apoptosis (death by neglect) or  $\alpha\beta$  and  $\gamma\delta$  T cell differentiation following  $\beta$  or  $\gamma\delta$  selection. Committed  $\alpha\beta$  T cells undergo positive selection, resulting in CD4 or CD8 SP differentiation (Klein et al., 2014; Muñoz-Ruiz et al., 2017; Turchinovich and Pennington, 2011). **2 C**, selected  $\alpha\beta$  T cells can undergo negative selection or Treg differentiation, two processes important for central tolerance. Negative selection allows the elimination of potentially harmful autoreactive T cells with a high TCR affinity for the self-peptide-MHC complex by apoptosis (Klein et al., 2014; Li and Rudensky, 2016). **3**, Naïve and mature T cells migrate into the secondary lymphoid organs, where they undergo a new selection process important for peripheral tolerance, including clonal selection and Treg differentiation (Audiger et al., 2017; Li and Rudensky, 2016). The selected self-tolerant T cells constitute a pool of immunocompetent T cells able to elaborate and coordinate a specific immune response (Boehm and Swann, 2014; Nakanishi et al., 2015).

Fig. 2: Circulating plasma levels of  $17\beta$ -oestradiol (E2), cortisol, calcium ( $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ), inorganic phosphorus ( $\text{P}_i$ ) and vitellogenin (Vtg) in sea bass, *Dicentrarchus labrax*, observed in females (F) and males (M) of E2-exposed (E2) and control (CTR) fish. Circulating plasma levels are represented by box-and-whisker plots. The horizontal line inside each box indicates the median, top lines of the box are the 25 and 75 percentiles and the whisker limits represent extreme values. Asterisks indicate significant differences between the E2 and CTR group at the level \*,  $0.05 \geq p > 0.01$  and \*\*\*,  $p < 0.001$ , respectively (Mann-Whitney *U*-test).

Fig. 3: Relative expression of thymic function-related genes, *ikaros*, *rag1*, *foxn1* and *aire*, in the thymus of sea bass, *Dicentrarchus labrax*, in females (F) and males (M) of E2-exposed (E2) and control (CTR) fish. Relative expression-levels are represented by box-and-whisker plots. The horizontal line inside each box indicates the median, top lines of the box are the 25 and 75 percentiles and the whisker limits depict extreme values. \*, significantly different at  $p=0.007$  (Mann-Whitney *U*-test)

Fig. 4: Relative expression of antigen-presenting cell and cellular homeostasis-related genes, *mhc2a*, *caspase8*, *caspase9* and *pcna*, in thymus, head-kidney and spleen of sea bass, *Dicentrarchus labrax*, in females (F) and males (M) of E2-exposed (E2) and control (CTR) fish. Relative expression-levels are represented by box-and-whisker plots with the median, 25 % and 75 % quartiles as well as extreme values depicted by the bars. \*, significantly different at  $p=0.038$  (*t*-test)

Fig. 5: Relative expression of T cell-related genes, *tcr $\alpha$* , *foxp3* and *tcr $\gamma$* , in thymus, head-kidney and spleen of sea bass, *Dicentrarchus labrax*, in females (F) and males (M) of E2-exposed (E2) and control (CTR) fish. Relative expression-levels are represented by box-and-whisker plots with the median, 25 % and 75 % quartiles as well as extreme values depicted by the bars. Asterisks indicate significant differences at  $p < 0.05$  (\*, *U*-test, \*, *t*-test).

Fig. 6: Flow cytometric analysis of sea bass leucocytes isolated from the head-kidney (HK) and spleen (S) of control (CTR) and E2-exposed (E2) fish. a, side scatter (SSC) / Electronic volume (EV) profiles with the gates for lymphoid (Ly) and myeloid cells (My) for each organ; b, leucocyte mortality and distribution; c, ROS-levels of stimulated and unstimulated cells, *i.e.*, with and without PMA, respectively, depicted as Mean Fluorescent Intensity (MFI); d, Respiratory Burst Index. Values are means  $\pm$  standard error. Asterisks indicate significant differences between control and E2-treated fish at \*,  $p < 0.05$ , (*U*-test) and \*,  $p = 0.02$  (*t*-test).




Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 5




Figure 6

## Supplementary data

### **A-Materials and Methods:**

*E2 solution preparation:* E2-solutions of 0.5 mg/mL, 1 mg/mL and 2mg/mL were freshly prepared before each experiment, allowing for minimal volumes to be injected. Briefly, 17 $\beta$ -oestradiol (E8875; Sigma) was dissolved at 50 mg/mL in absolute ethanol, dispersed in organic colza oil (La Vie Claire, Montagny, France) and incubated overnight in obscurity at 30 °C to evaporate the solvent. The vehicle control was prepared in exactly the same way, but without E2. During each experiment, the solutions were conserved in obscurity at 4 °C.

*Statistical analysis:* Eight experimental groups were analysed in this study (Figure S1), divided in control (CTR) or exposed (E2) females (F) and males (M) from the experiment of November (1) and December (2) (*i.e.*, CTR-F-1, CTR-F-2, E2-F-1, E2-F-2, CTR-M-1, CTR-M-2, E2-M-1 and E2-M-2). To evaluate if these experimental groups could be combined, a Kruskal-Wallis rank sum-test was applied to compare the different biometric indices ( $K$ , GSI, SSI and HSI) and the plasmatic levels of cortisol, E2, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, P<sub>i</sub> and Vtg between the eight experimental groups, followed by a Tukey-Kramer (Nemenyi) *post-hoc* test (Figure S1). Overall sample size analysis can be consulted in Table 1 and number of individuals per group in Figure S1. The biometric indices and the plasmatic parameters were compared between the males and females of both treatment groups (E2-F, CTR-F, E2-M and CTR-M) from both experiments. Furthermore, E2- and CTR-groups were combined to detect possible gender differences. For pairwise analysis, if normal distribution and homoscedasticity could be confirmed, an independent Student *t*-test was used for parametric hypothesis testing; otherwise non-parametric Mann-Whitney *U*-test was conducted.




Figure S1: Experimental setup and procedure to validate the pooling of the two experiments. Two successive E2-exposures were conducted leading to 8 experimental groups corresponding to the control and treated fish from both genders and both experiments, i.e., CTR-F-1, CTR-F-2, E2-F-1, E2-F-2, CTR-M-1, CTR-M-2, E2-M-1 and E2-M-2. (1) To validate that both experiments can be combined in four treatment groups including the control and treated groups of both genders (CTR-F, E2-F, CTR-M and E2-M), Fulton's condition factor (K), spleno-somatic index (SSI), gonado-somatic index (GSI) and plasmatic cortisol-level were compared. Because no statistically significant difference could be detected when comparing between the treatment groups for both sexes, the experiments were combined. As a confirmation, (2) no statistically significant differences were observed when comparing: the hepato-somatic index (HSI) and the plasmatic level of Ca<sup>2+</sup>, P<sub>i</sub> and E2 between the respective treatment groups. Subsequently, (3) the E2-exposure was validated with both experiments combined using the plasmatic Ca<sup>2+</sup>, P<sub>i</sub> and cortisol-levels as well as the HSI. The different groups were compared using a Kruskal-Wallis rank sum-test followed by a Tukey-Kramer (Nemenyi) post-hoc test.

Table S1: Sample sizes analysed in each experimental group.

Experiment 1 (November 2014)					Experiment 2 (December 2014)				
Biometric index	CTR-F-1	CTR-M-1	E2-F-1	E2-M-1	Biometric index	CTR-F-2	CTR-M-2	E2-F-2	E2-M-2
<i>K</i>	32	7	32	7	<i>K</i>	27	11	23	15
GSI	32	7	32	7	GSI	27	11	22	14
SSI	16	4	16	4	SSI	14	6	11	9
HSI	32	7	32	7	HSI	27	11	23	15
Gene expression					Gene expression				
Thymus	6	2	7	2	Thymus	9	3	6	5
Head-kidney	8	4	6	4	Head-kidney	6	5	5	7
Spleen	8	4	6	3	Spleen	4	6	5	5
Plasmatic parameters					Plasmatic parameters				
E2	13	3	13	3	E2	13	6	12	7
VTG	4	2	7	2	VTG	4	5	5	4
Ca	13	3	13	3	Ca	13	6	11	7
P <sub>i</sub>	13	3	12	3	P <sub>i</sub>	13	6	11	7
Cortisol	13	3	13	3	Cortisol	13	6	12	8
Flow cytometry					Flow cytometry				
Head-kidney	4	2	4	2	Head-kidney	6	3	5	4
Spleen	4	2	3	2	Spleen	4	1	1	3

*Partial gene sequences determination:* For *foxn1* and *ikaros* transcript quantification, we first designed primers for conserved sequences by multi-sequence alignments of the following sequences: *Danio rerio*, Accession no. AF092175.1; *Homo sapiens*, BC018349.1; *Xenopus tropicalis*, 001015698.1; *Gallus gallus*, 205088.1; *Larimichtys crocea*, 010734525.1; *Salmo salar*, 001173899.1 for *ikaros* and *Larimichthys crocea*, 010735826.1; *Danio rerio*, 212573.1, *Oryzias latipes*, AB274724.1, *Stegastes partitus*, 008295040.1, *Poecilia Formosa*, 007563077.1 for *foxn1*. Partial gene sequences of *D. labrax ikaros* and *foxn1* were amplified using the primers specific for the conserved parts of the sequence (Table S2). PCR was performed with cDNA from the thymus of sea bass, specific primers and the Purple Taq (Ozyme, Montigny-le-Bretonneux, France). Negative controls were performed with DNA free water. PCR conditions were as follows: initial incubation at 95°C for 2min followed by 72°C for 5min. For all genes, 40 cycles at 95° for 30s, 60° for 30s (the hybridization temperature is primer specific, see Table S2) and 72°C for 45s were carried out for the Purple Taq. The size of the various amplicons was determined on 2% agarose gels. The PCR product were purified using the Wizard® SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System (Promega, Fitchburg, USA) and following the manufacturer instructions. Purified PCR products were then sequenced to validate the amplification specificity and to design specific primers for qPCR (Table S3).

\* decrease of 1°C at each cycle over 10 consecutive cycles followed by constant hybridation temperature.

**Table S2: Oligonucleotide** sequences used to amplify and sequence partial *D. labrax* sequences

Genes	Primers 5'-3'	Amplicon pb	Hybridation Temperature
<i>foxn1</i>	F: GCATCTTGATCTTCTGGCTCTG	260	60°C
	R: CCACTGTGCAGCTCCTCC		
<i>ikaros</i>	F: AGTGTGGTGCCTCTTTCACC	396	70°C*
	R: TCTGTGGCATAGTGCTCTTAC		

Table S3: Oligonucleotide sequences and qPCR conditions for the selected thymic function-related genes (*ikaros*, *rag1*, *foxn1* and *aire*), homeostasis-related genes (*caspase8*, *caspase9* and *pcna*) as well as antigen-presenting-cell- and T cell-related genes (*mhc2a*, *tcr $\alpha$* , *foxp3* and *tcr $\gamma$* ). Normalised relative gene expression was calculated by geometric means (for details see text) using three reference genes (*ef1a*, *l13a*, *fau*).

Genes	Primers 5'-3'	Amplicon pb	Hybridation Temperature	Amount of Primer	Reaction volume qPCR	Efficiency			Gene accession numbers
						Thymus	HK	Spleen	
<i>ikaros</i>	F: TTTCACCCAGAAGGGCAACC R: CCGAATGGGTGCGTAAATGG	131	57.5°C	0.5 $\mu$ M	10 $\mu$ L	1.97			DLAgn_00010890
<i>rag1</i>	F: CACTGGCTCTACACCTCAA R: CTCATCTCCAGGCTCTCCAC	108	60°C	0.75 $\mu$ M	5 $\mu$ L	1.98			AF137181.1
<i>foxn1</i>	F: CCCTATCTACAGCACCACTT R: GAATAGTGTGGACGGCGAAT	84	60°C	0.5 $\mu$ M	5 $\mu$ L	2.00			DLAgn_00031910
<i>aire</i>	F: ACGTCGGTGGGAGTTTTAC R: TCCGTCCTTACACACTGCAC	158	60°C	0.5 $\mu$ M	5 $\mu$ L	2.18			DLAgn_5610
<i>tcr<math>\alpha</math></i>	F: GGCCACTGGTTTCAGCAGAT R: AGAGCCATGAGGTTCAACAT	213	60°C	0.5 $\mu$ M	1.5 $\mu$ L	1.99	1.99	1.90	AY831387.1
<i>foxp3</i>	F: GGAGCAGTATTGTGGGCACT R: TCGTCTGGAAGCTGTTTGGG	80	60°C	0.5 $\mu$ M	1.5 $\mu$ L	1.99	1.83	2.10	KJ818328
<i>tcr<math>\gamma</math></i>	F: CCAACTGCACACATGCTGAC R: TTGAGGCACCTTATCAAACCTGT	164	60°C	0.5 $\mu$ M	10 $\mu$ L	1.96	1.94	1.97	EU853841.1
<i>pcna</i>	F: GCTGGGTACAGGAAACGTCA R: GCGTGGCTTTGGTGAAGAAG	137	60°C	0.5 $\mu$ M	1.5 $\mu$ L	1.919	1.98	1.77	JQ755266.1
<i>mhc2a</i>	F: GGCTGACTGTGCGTCTGCT R: TGCACCTGTTTCTTTGATG	67	60°C	0.5 $\mu$ M	1.5 $\mu$ L	2.04	1.98	1.90	AM113466.1
<i>caspase8</i>	F: TGTCAGGAAAGCCTCTACCA R: CATCCCCAGCAGGAAGTCAG	150	60°C	0.5 $\mu$ M	5 $\mu$ L	2.28	1.98	2.00	FJ225665.1
<i>caspase9</i>	F: CGAATGCAACCGAGCACAAA R: ACTAACGACCGCCAATGAGG	193	60°C	0.5 $\mu$ M	5 $\mu$ L	2.09	2.13	1.80	DQ345775.1
<i>l13a</i>	F: TCTGGAGGACTGTCAGGGGCATGC R: AGACGCACAATCTTGAGAGCAG	145	60°C	0.5 $\mu$ M	1.5 $\mu$ L	2.08	2.10	2.04	DLAgn_00023060
<i>fau</i>	F: GACACCCAAGTTGACAAGCAG R: GGCATTGAAGCACTTAGGAGTTG	149	60°C	0.5 $\mu$ M	1.5 $\mu$ L	1.96	2.04	1.97	DLAgn_00067980
<i>ef1a</i>	F: GGCTGGTATCTCTAAGAACG R: CCTCCAGCATGTTGTCTCC	239	60°C	0.5 $\mu$ M	1.5 $\mu$ L	1.60	2.00	2.03	AJ866727.1

## **B-Results:**

Female and male fish had total lengths of 30.07 cm  $\pm$  2.16 s.d. and 29.72 cm  $\pm$  2.25 s.d., respectively, and weighed 377.4 g  $\pm$  87.63 s.d. and 380.9 g  $\pm$  97.82 s.d.. When comparing the biometric indices between all eight experimental groups (see figure S2), the Fulton's condition factor *K* indicated significant differences between the experimental groups (*Kruskal-Wallis*,  $H_{7,146}=20.35$ ,  $p=0.005$ ). The *post-hoc* analysis revealed a significant difference between CTR-F-1 and E2-M-2 ( $p=0.021$ ) and between CTR-M-1 and E2-M-2 ( $p=0.010$ ) (overall *p*-values are reported in Table S4). The GSI (*Kruskal-Wallis*,  $H_{7,146}=7.87$ ,  $p=0.344$ ) did not differ significantly across all experimental groups, neither did the SSI (*Kruskal-Wallis*,  $H_{7,72}=9.06$ ,  $p=0.248$ ), nor the plasmatic level of cortisol (*Kruskal-Wallis*,  $H_{7,63}=13.27$ ,  $p=0.066$ ).




Figure S2: Biometric indices (Fulton's  $K$  condition factor, gonado-somatic index (GSI), spleno-somatic index (SSI) and hepato-somatic index (HSI)) of the different experimental groups including control (CTR), exposed (E2), females (F) and males (M) from the experiment of November (1) and December (2). Indices are means and standard deviation. \*, significantly different at  $0.05 \geq p > 0.01$  for males and females from both experiments and treatments combined; \*\*, significantly different at  $0.01 \geq p > 0.001$  for males from both experiments combined and \*\*\*, significantly different at  $p < 0.001$  for females from both experiments combined.

Table S4:  $p$ -values obtained with the Tukey-Kramer (Nemenyi) post-hoc test corresponding to the Fulton's condition factor  $K$  pairwise analysis of the experimental groups. Values indicate significant differences at  $p < 0.05$

	CTR-F-1	CTR-F-2	CTR-M-1	CTR-M-2	E2-F-1	E2-F-2	E2-M-1
CTR-F-2	0.974						
CTR-M-1	0.861	0.492					
CTR-M-2	0.299	0.804	0.082				
E2-F-1	0.994	1.000	0.584	0.662			
E2-F-2	0.818	1.000	0.304	0.961	0.994		
E2-M-1	0.878	0.997	0.387	1.000	0.987	0.999	
E2-M-2	<b>0.021</b>	0.237	<b>0.010</b>	1.000	0.123	0.547	0.970

When both experiments were combined to compare females and males from the two treatment groups (CTR vs. E2),  $K$  values were significantly different (Kruskal-Wallis,  $H_{3,150}=9.53$ ,  $p=0.023$ ), with *post-hoc* analysis revealing a significant difference between CTR-F and E2-M ( $p=0.011$ ; overall  $p$ -values are listed in Table S5). When combining the two experiments, the GSI (Kruskal-Wallis,  $H_{3,150}=0.87$ ,  $p=0.832$ ), the SSI (Kruskal-Wallis,  $H_{3,74}=0.87$ ,  $p=0.198$ ) and the plasmatic level of cortisol (Kruskal-Wallis,  $H_{3,67}=5.81$ ,  $p=0.121$ ; Fig. 2) did not reveal any significant difference between CTR-F, CTR-M, E2-F and E2-M.

Table S5:  $p$ -values obtained with the Tukey-Kramer (Nemenyi) post-hoc test corresponding to the Fulton's  $K$  condition factor pairwise analysis with the two experiments confounded. Values in red correspond to  $p < 0.05$

	CTR-F	CTR-M	E2-F
CTR-M	0.951		
E2-F	0.824	1.000	
E2-M	<b>0.011</b>	0.203	0.077

Comparing E2 vs. CTR with all individuals, *i.e.*, both genders and both experiments combined,  $K$  ( $U$ -test,  $p=0.062$ ), GSI ( $U$ -test,  $p=0.380$ ), SSI ( $U$ -test,  $p=0.092$ ) and cortisol-levels ( $U$ -test,  $p=0.367$ ) were not statistically different.

Fish from control and treatment groups of the two experiments combined, revealed a significant difference between the  $K$  of females with  $1.37 \text{ g/cm}^3 \pm 0.18 \text{ s.d.}$ , which was slightly lower than that of males,  $1.42 \text{ g/cm}^3 \pm 0.17 \text{ s.d.}$  ( $U$ -test,  $p=0.026$ ). The GSI between males and females, all treatment groups and both experiments confounded, was not statistically different ( $U$ -test,  $p=0.380$ ), neither was the SSI ( $U$ -test,  $p=0.092$ ), nor the cortisol-level ( $U$ -test,  $p=0.578$ ).

Considering the plasmatic E2-levels in all eight experimental groups, the statistical analysis revealed significant differences ( $Kruskal-Wallis$ ,  $H_{7,60}=54.07$ ,  $p<0.001$ ), in the HSI values ( $Kruskal-Wallis$ ,  $H_{7,146}=44.94$ ,  $p<0.001$ ) as well as in the plasmatic level of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  ( $Kruskal-Wallis$ ,  $H_{7,61}=39.10$ ,  $p<0.001$ ). The *post-hoc* analysis indicated a significant difference of E2-levels, HSI and  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -levels between the CTR and the E2 group for all pairs, except the males of the first experiment (see  $p$ -values in Table S6-8). Considering the plasmatic level of  $\text{P}_i$ , the statistical analysis with all experimental groups revealed a significant difference ( $Kruskal-Wallis$ ,  $H_{7,61}=39.10$ ,  $p=0.011$ ), with the *post-hoc* analysis indicating a significant difference between CTR and E2 group for female of the second experiment only (see  $p$  value in Table S9).

Table S6:  $p$ -values obtained with the Tukey-Kramer (Nemenyi) *post-hoc* test corresponding to the E2-level pairwise analysis of the experimental groups. Values in red correspond to  $p<0.05$

	CTR-F-1	CTR-F-2	CTR-M-1	CTR-M-2	E2-F-1	E2-F-2	E2-M-1
CTR-F-2	0.974						
CTR-M-1	1.000	1.000					
CTR-M-2	1.000	0.999	1.000				
E2-F-1	0.003	4E-05	0.147	0.025			
E2-F-2	0.008	1.41E-04	0.212	0.048	1.000		
E2-M-1	0.258	0.076	0.388	0.286	1.000	1.000	
E2-M-2	0.008	2.58E-04	0.133	0.031	1.000	1.000	1.000

Table S7:  $p$ -values obtained with the Tukey-Kramer (Nemenyi) *post-hoc* test corresponding to the HSI-value pairwise analysis of the experimental groups. Values in red correspond to  $p<0.05$

	CTR-F-1	CTR-F-2	CTR-M-1	CTR-M-2	E2-F-1	E2-F-2	E2-M-1
CTR-F-2	0.999						
CTR-M-1	0.988	0.963					
CTR-M-2	0.864	0.953	0.645				
E2-F-1	0.009	0.042	0.052	0.942			
E2-F-2	1.610E-04	0.001	0.005	0.445	0.910		
E2-M-1	0.946	0.984	0.761	1.000	0.976	0.647	
E2-M-2	6.720E-04	0.003	0.006	0.416	0.874	1.000	0.596

Table S8: *p*-values obtained with the Tukey-Kramer (Nemenyi) post-hoc test corresponding to the  $Ca^{2+}$ -level pairwise analysis of the experimental groups. Values in red correspond to  $p < 0.05$

	CTR-F-1	CTR-F-2	CTR-M-1	CTR-M-2	E2-F-1	E2-F-2	E2-M-1
CTR-F-2	0.940						
CTR-M-1	0.951	0.100					
CTR-M-2	0.985	1.000	1.000				
E2-F-1	3.4E-05	0.006	0.591	0.065			
E2-F-2	9.6E-05	0.010	0.615	0.083	1.000		
E2-M-1	0.493	0.911	0.998	0.944	0.977	0.979	
E2-M-2	0.493	0.641	0.992	0.805	0.897	0.913	1.000

Table S9: *p*-values obtained with the Tukey-Kramer (Nemenyi) post-hoc test corresponding to the  $P_i$ -value pairwise analysis of the experimental groups. Values in red correspond to  $p < 0.05$

	CTR-F-1	CTR-F-2	CTR-M-1	CTR-M-2	E2-F-1	E2-F-2	E2-M-1
CTR-F-2	1.000						
CTR-M-1	0.555	0.684					
CTR-M-2	0.979	0.996	0.968				
E2-F-1	0.193	0.350	1.000	0.966			
E2-F-2	0.019	0.049	1.000	0.651	0.988		
E2-M-1	1.000	1.000	0.930	1.000	0.932	0.655	
E2-M-2	0.601	0.768	1.000	0.997	1.000	0.966	0.985

In summary, except the Fulton's K condition factor, which presents a gender difference, the pertinent biometric and physiological parameters did not differ significantly between the groups from the two experiments. Hence, the results from both experiments were combined to increase the statistical power for detecting a possible oestrogenic modulation of T cell-related gene expression in males and female sea bass. Importantly, the parameters crucial for the experimental increase of E2-levels, *i.e.*, plasmatic E2-,  $Ca^{2+}$ - and  $P_i$ -levels as well as HSI were not different detected between the respective treatment groups of both experiments, *e.g.*, CTR-F-1 vs. CTR-F-2 (Tables S6-9) which confirmed that the experiments can be combined.

Table S10: *p*-value obtained with the Tukey-Kramer (Nemenyi) post-hoc test corresponding to E2-level pairwise analysis of the treatment group from both gender with experiment 1 and 2 combined. Values in red correspond to  $p < 0.05$

	CTR-F	CTR-M	E2-F
CTR-M	0.996		
E2-F	2.056E-08	2.76E-04	
E2-M	3.836E-05	0.003	1.000

Table S11: *p*-value obtained with the Tukey-Kramer (Nemenyi) post-hoc test corresponding to the HSI-value pairwise analysis of the treatment group from both gender with experiment 1 and 2 combined. Values in red correspond to  $p < 0.05$

	CTR-F	CTR-M	E2-F
CTR-M	9.744E-01		
E2-F	6.183E-07	0.006	
E2-M	3.007E-04	0.024	1.000

Table S12: *p*-value obtained with the Tukey-Kramer (Nemenyi) post-hoc test corresponding to the  $Ca^{2+}$ -level pairwise analysis of the treatment group from both gender with experiment 1 and 2 combined. Values in red correspond to  $p < 0.05$

	CTR-F	CTR-M	E2-F
CTR-M	0.851		
E2-F	2.152E-08	0.003	
E2-M	2.396E-02	0.371	0.356

Table S13: *p*-value obtained with the Tukey-Kramer (Nemenyi) post-hoc test corresponding to the  $Pi$ -level pairwise analysis of the treatment group from both gender with experiment 1 and 2 combined. Values in red correspond to  $p < 0.05$

	CTR-F	CTR-M	E2-F
CTR-M	0.319		
E2-F	5.590E-04	0.654	
E2-M	0.360	1.000	0.535




Figure S3: Cycle thresholds (*Ct*) for the reference genes assayed obtained in qPCR in three lymphoid organs of sea bass, *Dicentrarchus labrax*, in males (M) and females (F) of E2-exposed (E2) and control (CTR) fish for thymus (a), head-kidney (b) and spleen (c). *Ct*-values are represented by box-and-whisker plots with the median, 25 % and 75 % quartiles as well as extreme values depicted by the bars.

Table S14: Matrice of Spearman Rank Order correlation coefficients between the different variables measured in control females (A) and E2-injected females (B) in the thymus (T). For each correlation is the r, p and n values are shown (in this order).

A										B											
	rag1	foxn1	aire	tr-a-T	foxp3-T	targ-T	mhc2-T	pcna-T	Csp8-T	Csp9-T		rag1	foxn1	aire	tr-a-T	foxp3-T	targ-T	mhc2-T	pcna-T	Csp8-T	Csp9-T
ikaros	0.626 0.0158 14	<b>0.732</b> <b>0.00145</b> 15	0.0179 0.944 15	0.578 0.0291 14	0.514 0.048 15	0.242 0.414 13	0.468 0.0873 14	0.418 0.117 15	0.379 0.158 15	<b>0.707</b> <b>0.00278</b> 15	ikaros	0.594 0.0387 12	0.467 0.102 13	0.407 0.16 13	0.545 0.0623 12	0.544 0.0517 13	0.308 0.295 13	0.0275 0.921 13	0.313 0.286 13	0.456 0.111 13	0.335 0.252 13
rag1		0.516 0.056 14	0.13 0.648 14	0.665 0.0122 13	0.451 0.101 14	0.0879 0.764 13	0.434 0.132 13	0.27 0.34 14	0.178 0.532 14	0.534 0.047 14	rag1		-0.21 0.498 12	0.301 0.329 12	0.164 0.614 11	0.364 0.233 12	-0.217 0.484 12	-0.035 0.904 12	-0.189 0.542 12	0.161 0.603 12	0.042 0.886 12
foxn1			-0.129 0.639 15	0.587 0.0262 14	<b>0.811</b> <b>0.2E-6</b> 15	-0.225 0.447 13	0.468 0.0873 14	0.075 0.783 15	0.321 0.235 15	<b>0.757</b> <b>0.000575</b> 15	foxn1			0.0549 0.849 13	0.175 0.572 12	0.555 0.0464 13	0.341 0.244 13	0.0879 0.764 13	0.33 0.261 13	0.516 0.067 13	0.67 0.0113 13
aire				-0.253 0.373 14	0.0821 0.763 15	-0.137 0.643 13	-0.2 0.482 14	-0.404 0.131 15	-0.239 0.381 15	-0.0786 0.773 15	aire			0.392 0.197 12	0.396 0.173 13	-0.126 0.669 13	0.313 0.286 13	-0.198 0.504 13	-0.011 0.964 13	0.198 0.504 13	
tr-a-T					0.358 0.201 14	0.011 0.964 13	0.64 0.0131 14	0.235 0.407 14	0.116 0.682 14	0.64 0.0131 14	tr-a-T				0.196 0.527 12	-0.126 0.683 12	0.49 0.0998 12	0.406 0.181 12	0.049 0.869 12	-0.189 0.542 12	
foxp3-T						-0.412 0.154 13	0.385 0.167 14	-0.107 0.695 15	0.293 0.281 15	0.654 0.00785 15	foxp3-T					-0.176 0.553 13	0.396 0.173 13	-0.115 0.696 13	0.72 0.00473 13	0.643 0.0167 13	
targ-T							-0.137 0.643 13	0.159 0.591 13	-0.412 0.154 13	-0.187 0.528 13	targ-T						-0.368 0.206 13	0.0604 0.835 13	0.0769 0.792 13	0.363 0.214 13	
mhc2-T								0.424 0.125 14	0.266 0.348 14	0.534 0.047 14	mhc2-T							-0.121 0.682 13	-0.022 0.935 13	-0.011 0.964 13	
pcna-T									<b>0.711</b> <b>0.00256</b> 15	0.454 0.0861 15	pcna-T								0.187 0.528 13	-0.022 0.935 13	
Csp8-T										0.682 0.00471 15	Csp8-T									0.681 0.00948 13	

Table S15: Matrice of Spearman Rank Order correlation coefficients between the different variables measured in control females (A) and E2-injected females (B) in the head-kidney (HK). For each correlation is the r, p and n values are shown (in this order).

A						B							
	foxp3-HK	targ-HK	mhc2-HK	pcna-HK	Csp8-HK	Csp9-HK		foxp3-HK	targ-HK	mhc2-HK	pcna-HK	Csp8-HK	Csp9-HK
tr-a-HK	0.618 0.0178 14	0.336 0.231 14	0.468 0.0873 14	0.284 0.316 14	0.297 0.293 14	0.415 0.134 14	tr-a-HK	0.583 0.0874 9	0.115 0.733 10	0.648 0.0377 10	-0.0909 0.785 10	0.285 0.404 10	0.05 0.878 9
foxp3-HK		0.134 0.637 14	0.618 0.0178 14	0.543 0.0429 14	0.152 0.594 14	<b>0.758</b> <b>0.00102</b> 14	foxp3-HK		0.167 0.643 9	0.367 0.308 9	-0.0667 0.844 9	0.3 0.407 9	0.533 0.124 9
targ-HK			0.38 0.173 14	0.292 0.301 14	-0.0418 0.88 14	0.187 0.511 14	targ-HK			-0.136 0.673 11	0.3 0.353 11	0.0424 0.892 10	0.05 0.878 9
mhc2-HK				<b>0.719</b> <b>0.0032</b> 14	0.134 0.637 14	0.345 0.219 14	mhc2-HK				-0.0273 0.924 11	-0.0909 0.785 10	0.35 0.331 9
pcna-HK					0.341 0.225 14	0.464 0.0907 14	pcna-HK					-0.139 0.681 10	0.117 0.742 9
Csp8-HK						0.305 0.279 14	Csp8-HK						0.0833 0.809 9

Table S16: Matrice of Spearman Rank Order correlation coefficients between the different variables measured in control males (A) and E2-injected males (B) in the head-kidney (HK). For each correlation is the r, p and n values are shown (in this order).

A	foxp3-HK	tcrg-HK	mhc2-HK	pcna-HK	Csp8-HK	Csp9-HK	B	foxp3-HK	tcrg-HK	mhc2-HK	pcna-HK	Csp8-HK	Csp9-HK
trcr-HK	0.6	0.7	0.533	-0.267	-0.4	0.75	trcr-HK	0.382	0.394	<b>0.9</b>	-0.127	-0.164	0.0727
	0.0769	0.0301	0.124	0.462	0.264	0.0158		0.233	0.243	<b>0.2E-6</b>	0.693	0.614	0.818
		9	9	9	9	9			11	10	<b>11</b>	11	11
foxp3-HK		0.65	0.433	0.117	0.25	<b>0.883</b>	foxp3-HK		0.588	0.391	0.327	0.191	<b>0.782</b>
		0.0501	0.223	0.742	0.491	<b>0.2E-6</b>			0.0665	0.221	0.31	0.557	<b>0.00285</b>
			9	9	9	9				10	11	11	<b>11</b>
tcrg-HK			0.65	0.35	-0.183	0.733	tcrg-HK			0.43	0.212	-0.00606	0.527
			0.0501	0.331	0.612	0.02				0.199	0.535	0.973	0.107
				9	9	9					10	10	10
mhc2-HK				0.417	0.217	0.733	cmh2-HK				-0.282	-0.136	0.0909
				0.243	0.55	0.02					0.384	0.673	0.776
					9	9						11	11
pcna-HK					0.333	0.233	pcna-HK					0.473	0.518
					0.356	0.52						0.132	0.0948
						9							11
Csp8-HK						0.167	Csp8-HK						0.127
						0.643							0.693
						9							11

Table S17: Matrice of Spearman Rank Order correlation coefficients between the different variables measured in control females (A) and E2-injected females (B) in the spleen (S). For each correlation is the r, p and n values are shown (in this order).

A	foxp3-S	tcrg-S	mhc2-S	pcna-S	Csp8-S	Csp9-S	B	foxp3-S	tcrg-S	mhc2-S	pcna-S	Csp8-S	Csp9-S
trcr-S	0.145	-0.145	0.0909	-0.2	-0.0182	-0.127	trcr-S	0.58	0.627	0.028	-0.497	0.126	0.315
	0.653	0.653	0.776	0.559	0.946	0.693		0.0446	0.0354	0.921	0.0944	0.683	0.306
		11	11	11	10	10			12	11	12	12	12
foxp3-S		0.622	0.126	0.118	0.182	-0.021	foxp3-S		0.709	0.469	-0.035	0.0699	0.51
		0.0285	0.683	0.714	0.575	0.939			0.0127	0.117	0.904	0.817	0.0843
			12	12	11	11				11	12	12	12
tcrg-S			-0.0909	-0.382	0.0909	-0.0699	tcrg-S			0.0818	0.00909	0.264	0.173
			0.766	0.233	0.776	0.817				0.797	0.968	0.416	0.595
				12	11	11					11	11	11
mhc2-S				-0.373	0.364	-0.517	mhc2-S				0.119	-0.322	0.51
				0.245	0.257	0.0795					0.699	0.295	0.0843
					11	11						12	12
pcna-S					-0.479	0.582	pcna-S					0.0909	-0.105
					0.148	0.0555						0.766	0.733
						10							12
Csp8-S						-0.664	Csp8-S						-0.559
						0.0234							0.0547
						11							12

Table S18: Matrice of Spearman Rank Order correlation coefficients between the different variables measured in control males (A) and E2-injected males (B) in the spleen (S). For each correlation is the r, p and n values are shown (in this order).

A							B						
	foxp3-S	tcrg-S	mhc2-S	pcna-S	Csp8-S	Csp9-S		foxp3-S	tcrg-S	mhc2-S	pcna-S	Csp8-S	Csp9-S
trca-S	0.0909	0.515	0.0909	-0.286	-0.248	-0.2	trca-S	0.75	0.524	0.548	0.607	0.214	0.714
	0.785	0.116	0.785	0.46	0.468	0.559		0.0384	0.16	0.139	0.121	0.602	0.0545
		10	10	10	8	10			7	8	8	7	7
foxp3-S		-0.103	0.261	-0.429	-0.588	0.612	foxp3-S		<b>0.929</b>	<b>0.964</b>	<b>0.964</b>	0.679	0.571
		0.759	0.446	0.26	0.0665	0.0537			<b>0.2E-6</b>	<b>0.2E-6</b>	<b>0.2E-6</b>	0.0735	0.15
			10	10	8	10			<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	7	7
tcrg-S			0.212	0.0952	0.188	-0.127	tcrg-S			<b>0.976</b>	<b>0.964</b>	0.607	0.643
			0.535	0.794	0.583	0.707				<b>0.2E-6</b>	<b>0.2E-6</b>	0.121	0.0956
				10	8	10				<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	7	7
mhc2-S				0.119	0.103	0.0909	mhc2-S				<b>0.2E-6</b>	1	0.75
				0.749	0.759	0.785						0.0384	0.217
					8	10						7	7
pcna-S					0.69	-0.595	pcna-S					0.75	0.5
					0.0474	0.102						0.0384	0.217
						8						7	7
Csp8-S						-0.37	Csp8-S						-0.0357
						0.275							0.905
													7